

Alternative Management and Funding Models for Sustainable Operation and Maintenance for WSUD Assets

Economic Growth and Urban Renewal Subcommittee of
Cabinet

16/037

Chris Glennon Manager Catchment Management & Water Policy



Policy Context

- Response to ICRC report
- WSUD Review
- Alignment with Government Priorities

Current practice

- Asset base \$595M
- Optimal O&M \$6.8M
- Current O&M \$2.5M
- Currently underperforming assets.

Recommended options

- Cost reflective stormwater charge
- Stormwater utility
- Transfer of assets to Icon Water
- Refining current budget arrangements



16/037

- Implementation pathways further explained
- URSC to note that a Pre-Feasibility study is currently being carried out

Next steps

- Pre-Feasibility Study will be presented to Cabinet in August
- Focuses on the four options
- Study will involve ongoing engagement and discussions with Icon Water and with TAMS

Cabinet Submission

- Cab Sub: transaction costs of establishing a stormwater charge and/or a proposed transfer to assets to Icon Water or the establishment of a stormwater utility.
- The strategic directions and other high level policy objectives and the most cost effective manner that suits the best outcome

The benefits of this work

