

**1998-1999-2000**

**LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY FOR THE  
AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY**

**MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS**

**No. 98**

**THURSDAY, 31 AUGUST 2000**

---

- 1** The Assembly met at 10.30 a.m., pursuant to adjournment. A quorum of Members not being present, the Speaker (Mr Cornwell) ordered the bells to be rung. A quorum having been formed the Speaker took the Chair and asked Members to stand in silence and pray or reflect on their responsibilities to the people of the Australian Capital Territory.

**2 DEATH OF SIR MARCUS OLIPHANT**

Ms Carnell (Chief Minister) moved - That this Assembly expresses its deep regret at the death of Canberra resident Sir Mark Oliphant who will be remembered for his contribution to science nationally and internationally, and tenders its profound sympathies to his family.

Mr Stanhope (Leader of the Opposition), Ms Tucker and Mr Moore (Minister for Health and Community Care) addressed the Assembly in support of the motion and all Members present having stood, in silence -

Question - passed.

**3 DEATH OF A. D. HOPE**

Ms Carnell (Chief Minister) moved - That this Assembly expresses its deep regret at the death of Canberra resident and distinguished poet Alec Derwent Hope, known more widely as AD Hope, and tenders its profound sympathies to his family.

Mr Stanhope (Leader of the Opposition), Mr Moore (Minister for Health and Community Care) and Ms Tucker addressed the Assembly in support of the motion and all Members present having stood, in silence -

Question - passed.

**4 JUSTICE AND COMMUNITY SAFETY – STANDING COMMITTEE – REFERENCE –  
DEFAMATION BILL 1999**

Mr Humphries (Attorney-General), pursuant to notice, moved – That the Standing Committee on Justice and Community Safety inquire into and report by the last sitting day in December 2000 on the Defamation Bill 1999 with particular reference to:

- (1) whether the ACT should return to the common law formulation of the defence of truth (section 16);

- (2) whether the ACT should adopt a defence based on negligence (section 23); and
- (3) whether, under the proposed offer of amends provision (section 6), a plaintiff should be able to claim, not only recompense for expenses but also compensation for the damage done to a victim's reputation and business.

Debate ensued.

Question – put and passed.

## **5 HEALTH AND COMMUNITY CARE – STANDING COMMITTEE – PROPOSED REFERENCE – DISABILITY SERVICES – STANDARD OF CARE**

Mr Rugendyke, pursuant to notice, moved – That the Standing Committee on Health and Community Care inquire into and report on the standard of care and complaints mechanisms in disability services with particular reference to:

- (1) training, supervision, evaluation and support of staff;
- (2) the tendering process for disability support services;
- (3) the efficacy of complaints reporting, complaints processing and complaints reviews;
- (4) the role of the Community and Health Services Complaints Commissioner;
- (5) the role of the Community Advocate; and
- (6) any other matter.

Debate ensued.

Mr Moore (Minister for Health and Community Care), by leave, was granted an extension of time.

Debate continued.

Mr Wood, by leave, quoted from unpublished minutes of the Standing Committee on Health and Community Care.

Debate adjourned (Mr Osborne) and the resumption of the debate made an order of the day for the next sitting.

*Assembly business – Extension of time:* It being past 45 minutes after the commencement of Assembly business –

Ordered - That the time allotted to Assembly business be extended by 30 minutes.

## **6 FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION – STANDING COMMITTEE (INCORPORATING THE PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE) – REPORT NO. 3 – IMPLEMENTATION OF SERVICE PURCHASING ARRANGEMENTS – GOVERNMENT RESPONSE – PAPER NOTED**

The order of the day having been read for the resumption of the debate on the motion of Ms Carnell (Chief Minister) – That the Assembly takes note of the paper (*presented 2 March 2000*) –

Finance and Public Administration – Standing Committee (incorporating the Public Accounts Committee) – Report No. 3 – Report on the implementation of service purchasing arrangements in the ACT – Government response –

Debate resumed.

Question – put and passed.

**7 EXECUTIVE MEMBERS' BUSINESS - PRECEDENCE**

Mr Humphries (Manager of Government Business), by leave, moved - That Executive Members' business be called on.

Question – put and passed.

**8 ARTIFICIAL CONCEPTION AMENDMENT BILL 2000**

The order of the day having been read for the resumption of the debate on the question - That this Bill be agreed to in principle -

Debate resumed.

Debate interrupted in accordance with standing order 74 and the resumption of the debate made an order of the day for a later hour this day.

**9 QUESTIONS**

Questions without notice were asked.

**10 PRESENTATION OF PAPER**

Ms Carnell (Chief Minister) presented the following paper:

Canberra Delegation visit to Brunei, 15 to 22 July 2000 – Report.

**11 TRANS-TASMAN MUTUAL RECOGNITION – ENDORSEMENT OF PROPOSED REGULATIONS – PAPER – STATEMENT BY MINISTER**

Ms Carnell (Chief Minister) presented the following paper:

Trans-Tasman mutual recognition – Endorsement of proposed Trans-Tasman Mutual Recognition (Temporary Exemptions) Regulations 2000 (notified in Gazette S46, dated 25 August 2000) pursuant to section 47 of the Trans-Tasman Mutual Recognition Act (Cwlth) –

and, by leave, made a statement in relation to the paper.

**12 ESTIMATES 2000-2001 – SELECT COMMITTEE – REPORTS – APPROPRIATION BILL 2000-2001 AND APPROPRIATION BILL 1999-2000 (NO 3) – GOVERNMENT RESPONSE – MOTION TO TAKE NOTE OF PAPER**

Mr Humphries (Treasurer) presented the following paper:

Estimates 2000-2001 – Select Committee – Reports – Appropriation Bill 2000-2001 and the Appropriation Bill 1999-2000 (No 3) (*presented 27 June 2000*) – Government response –

and moved – That the Assembly takes note of the paper.

Debate ensued.

Debate adjourned (Mr Corbell) and the resumption of the debate made an order of the day for the next sitting.

**13 LAND (PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT) ACT – RED HILL HOUSING PRECINCT – DIRECTION – STATEMENT BY MINISTER**

Mr Smyth (Minister for Urban Services) presented the following paper:

Land (Planning and Environment) Act, pursuant to section 37 – Direction in response to the resolution of the Assembly of 28 June 2000 – Review of Variation (No. 114) of the Territory Plan – Development intensity of Red Hill Housing Precinct, dated 9 August 2000 –

and, by leave, made a statement in relation to the paper.

Mr Corbell, by leave, also made a statement in relation to the paper.

**14 PLANNING AND URBAN SERVICES – STANDING COMMITTEE – REPORT NO. 54 – DRAFT VARIATION (NO. 146) TO THE TERRITORY PLAN – WODEN TOWN CENTRE – REPORT NOTED**

Mr Hird (Chair) presented the following report:

Planning and Urban Services – Standing Committee - Report No. 54 – Draft Variation (No. 146) to the Territory Plan: Callum Street realignment, Woden Town Centre, dated 25 August 2000, together with a copy of the extracts of the minutes of proceedings –

and moved – That the report be noted.

Question – put and passed.

**15 PLANNING AND URBAN SERVICES – STANDING COMMITTEE – REPORT NO. 55 – DRAFT VARIATION (NO. 159) TO THE TERRITORY PLAN – HERITAGE PLACES REGISTER – ALBERT HALL, YARRALUMLA – REPORT NOTED**

Mr Hird (Chair) presented the following report:

Planning and Urban Services – Standing Committee - Report No. 55 – Draft Variation (No. 159) to the Territory Plan: Heritage Places Register – Albert Hall, Yarralumla, dated 25 August 2000, together with a copy of an extract of the minutes of proceedings –

and moved – That the report be noted.

Question – put and passed.

**16 PLANNING AND URBAN SERVICES – STANDING COMMITTEE – INQUIRY – PROPOSED LAND ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION SYSTEM – STATEMENT BY CHAIR**

Mr Hird (Chair), by leave, informed the Assembly that on 27 July 2000, the Standing Committee on Planning and Urban Services resolved to inquire into and report on a comprehensive, useable (for multiple purposes), publicly accessible and central Land Administration Information System for the ACT, taking account of developments in other political jurisdictions in Australia and any related matter.

**17 ARTIFICIAL CONCEPTION AMENDMENT BILL 2000**

The order of the day having been read for the resumption of the debate on the question - That this Bill be agreed to in principle -

Debate resumed.

Question - That this Bill be agreed to in principle - put.

The Assembly voted –

Ayes, 13

Noes, 2

Mr Berry  
Ms Carnell

Mr Moore  
Mr Quinlan

Mr Osborne  
Ms Tucker

Mr Corbell	Mr Rugendyke
Mr Cornwell	Mr Smyth
Mr Hargreaves	Mr Stanhope
Mr Humphries	Mr Stefaniak
Mr Kaine	

And so it was resolved in the affirmative – Bill agreed to in principle.

---

*Detail stage*

Clauses 1 to 5, by leave, taken together and agreed to.

Clause 6 –

Mr Stanhope (Leader of the Opposition) moved the following amendment:

No. 1 –

Page 3, line 25, proposed new section 2, after the definition of ***birth relative*** insert the following new definition:

“***birth sibling***, of a child, means a brother or sister of the child who is born as a result of the same pregnancy as the child.”.

Debate ensued.

Question – That Mr Stanhope’s amendment No. 1 be agreed to – put.

The Assembly voted –

Ayes, 13

Noes, 2

Mr Berry	Mr Moore	Mr Osborne
Ms Carnell	Mr Quinlan	Ms Tucker
Mr Corbell	Mr Rugendyke	
Mr Hargreaves	Mr Smyth	
Mr Hird	Mr Stanhope	
Mr Humphries	Mr Stefaniak	
Mr Kaine		

And so it was resolved in the affirmative.

Clause 6, as amended, agreed to.

Clauses 7 and 8, by leave, taken together and agreed to.

Clause 9 –

Mr Stanhope moved the following amendment:

Page 6, line 12, proposed new section 9, omit “child born in the Territory”, substitute “child conceived in the Territory before July 2002”.

Debate ensued.

---

*Adjournment negated:* It being 5 p.m. – The question was proposed – That the Assembly do now adjourn.

Mr Humphries (Manager of Government Business) requiring the question to be put forthwith without debate –

Question – put and negatived.

---

---

Debate continued.

Ms Tucker, by leave, was granted an extension of time.

Debate continued.

Question – That Mr Stanhope’s amendment be agreed to – put.

The Assembly voted –

Ayes, 13		Noes, 2
Mr Berry	Mr Moore	Mr Osborne
Ms Carnell	Mr Quinlan	Ms Tucker
Mr Corbell	Mr Rugendyke	
Mr Hargreaves	Mr Smyth	
Mr Hird	Mr Stanhope	
Mr Humphries	Mr Stefaniak	
Mr Kaine		

And so it was resolved in the affirmative.

Mr Rugendyke, by leave, moved the following amendments together:

No. 1 –

Page 6, line 30, proposed new subsection 11 (1), omit the proposed new subsection, substitute the following new subsection:

“ **(1)** The Supreme Court must make a parentage order if satisfied that—

- (a) the making of the order is in the best interests of the child; and
- (b) both birth parents freely, and with a full understanding of what is involved, agree to the making of the order.”.

No. 2 –

Page 8, line 7, proposed new subsection 11 (2), omit “(e)”, substitute “(b)”.

No. 3 –

Page 8, line 27, after subsection 11 (2), insert the following new subsections:

“ **(2A)** In deciding whether to make a parentage order, the Supreme Court must take the following into consideration if relevant:

- (a) whether the child’s home is, and was at the time of the application, with both substitute parents;
- (b) whether both substitute parents are at least 18 years old;
- (c) if only 1 of the child’s substitute parents has applied for the order, and the other substitute parent is alive at the time of the application, whether the court is satisfied that—
  - (i) the other substitute parent freely, and with a full understanding of what is involved, agrees to the making of the order in favour of the applicant substitute parent; or
  - (ii) the applicant substitute parent is unable to contact the other substitute parent to obtain his or her agreement under subparagraph (i);

- (d) whether payment or reward (other than for expenses reasonably incurred) has been given or received by either of the child's substitute parents, or either of the child's birth parents, for or in consideration of—
  - (i) the making of the order; or
  - (ii) the agreement required under paragraph (1) (b); or
  - (iii) the handing over of the child to the substitute parents; or
  - (iv) the making of any arrangements with a view to the making of the order;
- (e) whether the court is satisfied that both birth parents and both substitute parents have received appropriate counselling and assessment from a counselling service that is not connected with the doctor who carried out the procedure that resulted in the birth of the child or the institution where the procedure was carried out.

‘(2B) The Supreme Court may take into consideration any other relevant matter.’.

On the motion of Mr Stanhope, by leave, the following amendments were made together, after debate, to Mr Rugendyke's proposed amendments Nos 1 and 3:

Mr Rugendyke's proposed amendment No. 1, proposed new subsection 11 (1), after “parentage order” insert “, if allowed by section 11A (Multiple births),”.

Mr Rugendyke's proposed amendment No. 3, proposed new subsections 11 (2A) and (2B), omit proposed new paragraph (e), substitute the following new paragraph:

“(e) whether both birth parents and both substitute parents have received appropriate counselling and assessment from an independent counselling service; or”.

Mr Rugendyke's proposed amendment No. 3, proposed new subsections 11 (2A) and (2B), after proposed new subsection (2B), insert the following new subsection:

“ ‘(2C) For paragraph (2A) (e), a counselling service is not independent if it is connected with—

- (a) the doctor who carried out the procedure that resulted in the birth of the relevant child (the *relevant procedure*); or
- (b) the institution where the relevant procedure was carried out; or
- (c) another entity involved in carrying out the relevant procedure.”.

Question – That Mr Rugendyke's proposed amendments Nos 1 as amended, 2 and 3 as amended, be agreed to – put and passed.

On the motion of Mr Stanhope the following amendment was made:

No. 7 –

Page 9, line 2, after the proposed new section 11 insert the following new section:

“ **11A Multiple births**

‘(1) This section applies if a child for whom an application for a parentage order has been made has a living birth sibling.

‘(2) The Supreme Court may make a parentage order about the child only if it also makes a parentage order about each living birth sibling of the child.”.

Clause 9, as amended, agreed to.

Clause 10 agreed to.

Clause 11 agreed to.

Remainder of Bill, by leave, taken as a whole and agreed to.

*Clause 9 - Recommittal:* Mr Stanhope, pursuant to standing order 187, moved – That clause 9, as amended, be recommitted.

Question – put and passed.

On the motion of Mr Stanhope the following further amendment was made, after debate:

Clause 9 as amended, page 6, line 16, insert the following new subsection:

“ (2) This Division applies to the child only if the child’s substitute parents live in the Territory.”.

Question – That clause 9, as recommitted, as amended, be agreed to – put and passed.

---

---

Question - That this Bill, as amended, be agreed to - put and passed.

## 18 INSURANCE CORPORATION BILL 2000

The order of the day having been read for the resumption of the debate on the question - That this Bill be agreed to in principle -

Debate resumed.

Question - That this Bill be agreed to in principle - put and passed.

---

---

### *Detail stage*

Clause 1 –

On the motion of Mr Quinlan the following amendment was made, after debate:

No. 1 –

Page 1, line 5, omit “*Corporation*”, substitute “*Authority*”.

Clause 1, as amended, agreed to.

Clause 2 –

*Paper:* Mr Humphries (Treasurer) presented a supplementary explanatory memorandum to a Government amendment to the Bill.

On the motion of Mr Humphries the following amendment was made: Page 1, line 6, omit the clause, substitute the following clause:

### **“2 Commencement**

This Act commences on a day fixed by the Minister by notice in the Gazette.

*Note 1* The provisions of an Act providing for its name and commencement automatically commence on the date of notification of the Act (see *Interpretation Act 1967*, s 10B)).

*Note 2* A single day or time may be fixed, or different days or times may be fixed, for the commencement of different provisions (see *Interpretation Act 1967*, s 10C (1)).

*Note 3* If a provision has not commenced with 6 months beginning on the date of notification of the Act, it automatically commences on the first day after that period (see *Interpretation Act 1967*, s 10E (2)).”.

Clause 2, as amended, agreed to.

Clauses 3 to 14, by leave, taken together –

On the motion of Mr Quinlan, by leave, the following amendments were made together:

Nos 2 to 22 –

Page 4, line 1, Part 2, heading, omit “**CORPORATION**”, substitute “**AUTHORITY**”.

## Clause 8 –

## Page 4 –

Line 4, subclause (1), omit “Corporation (**ACTIC**)”, substitute “Authority (**ACTIA**)”.

Line 6, subclause (2), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

## Clause 9 –

Page 4, line 11, omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

## Clause 10 –

Page 4, line 29, subclause (1), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

## Page 5 –

Line 2, subclause (2), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Line 4, subclause (3), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Line 5, subclause (4), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Line 7, subclause (5), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Line 8, subclause (6), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

## Clause 11 –

## Page 5 –

Line 13, subclause (1), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Line 20, paragraph (4) (b), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Line 24, subclause (5), definition of ***Territory entity***, omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

## Clause 12 –

## Page 5 –

Line 25, heading, omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Line 26, subclause (1), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Lines 29 and 30, paragraphs (2) (a) and (b), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

## Page 6 –

Line 1, paragraph (2) (c), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Line 4, subclause (4), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Line 7, paragraph (5) (b), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

## Clause 13 –

Page 6, lines 10 and 11, subclause (1), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

## Clause 14 –

Page 6, line 17, omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Clauses 3 to 14, as amended, agreed to.

Clause 15 –

On the motion of Mr Quinlan the following amendment was made:

No. 23 –

Page 6, lines 20 and 23, subclause (1), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Mr Quinlan moved the following amendment:

No. 24 –

Page 6, line 26, add the following new subclauses:

“(3) ACTIA must, within 6 days of giving an indemnity to a third-party, give the Minister a copy of the indemnity.

(4) The Minister must present to the Legislative Assembly a copy of the indemnity within 6 sitting days of receiving it.

(5) If the first day on which the copy of the indemnity may be presented to the Legislative Assembly is more than 14 days after the day on which it was given to the Minister, the Minister must cause a copy of the indemnity to be made available to the members of the Legislative Assembly within those 14 days.”.

Debate ensued.

Mr Quinlan, by leave, withdrew his amendment No. 24.

Clause 15, as amended, agreed to.

Clauses 16 and 17, by leave, taken together –

On the motion of Mr Quinlan, by leave, the following amendments were made together:

Nos 25 to 27 –

Clause 16 –

Page 6, line 29, omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Clause 17 –

Page 7, line 3, subclause (1), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Page 7, lines 5 and 6, paragraphs (2) (a) and (b), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Clauses 16 and 17, as amended, agreed to.

Clause 18 –

On the motion of Mr Quinlan the following amendment was made:

No. 28 –

Page 7, line 9, omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Mr Quinlan moved the following amendment:

No. 29 –

Page 7, line 10, paragraph (a), omit “5”, substitute “3”.

Debate ensued.

Amendment negatived.

Clause 18, as amended, agreed to.

Clauses 19 to 27, by leave, taken together and agreed to.

Clause 28 –

On the motion of Mr Quinlan the following amendment was made, after debate:

No. 34 –

Page 11, line 10, subclause (5), omit the subclause, substitute the following subclause:

“(5) The chairperson must, within 14 days after the end of each financial year, give the Minister a statement of any disclosure of interest made under subsection (2).”.

Clause 28, as amended, agreed to.

Clauses 29 to 31, by leave, taken together –

On the motion of Mr Quinlan, by leave, the following amendments were made together:

Nos 35 to 37 –

Clause 29 –

Page 12, line 9, subclause (3), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Clause 30 –

Page 12, line 13, paragraph (1) (a), omit “ACTIC’s”, substitute “ACTIA’s”.

Clause 31 –

Page 12, line 21, omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Clauses 29 to 31, as amended, agreed to.

Clause 32 –

On the motion of Mr Quinlan the following amendment was made:

No. 38 –

Page 12, line 24, omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ (1) ACTIA”.

On the motion of Mr Quinlan the following amendment was made, after debate:

No. 39 –

Page 12, line 24, add the following subclause:

“(2) Subsection (1) does not confer on ACTIA a power to enter into a contract of employment.”.

Clause 32, as amended, agreed to.

Clauses 33 to 40, by leave, taken together –

On the motion of Mr Quinlan, by leave, the following amendments were made together:

Nos 40 to 59 –

Clause 33 –

Page 13 –

Line 3, subclause (1), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Line 5, subclause (2), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Line 8, subclause (3), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Clause 34 –

Page 13 –

Line 20, subclause (1), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Lines 22 and 23, subclause (2), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Clause 36 –

Page 14 –

Line 2, heading, omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Line 4, subclause (1), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Line 6, subclause (2), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Lines 7 and 11, subclause (3), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Clause 37 –

Page 14, line 22, subclause (1), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Clause 38 –

Page 15 –

Lines 5 and 6, paragraphs (2) (a) and (b), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Line 11, subclause (3), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Line 16, paragraph (4) (c), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Clause 39 –

Page 15 –

Line 21, subclause (1), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Lines 23 and 24, subclause (2), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Lines 26 and 27, subclause (3), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Line 30, subclause (4), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Line 33, subclause (5), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Page 16 –

Line 2, subclause (6), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Line 3, subclause (7), omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Clauses 33 to 40, as amended, agreed to.

Remainder of Bill, by leave, taken as a whole -

On the motion of Mr Quinlan, by leave, the following amendments were made together:

Nos 60 to 63 –

Dictionary, page 17 –

Line 2, definition of *ACTIC*, omit the definition, substitute the following definition:

“*ACTIA* means the Australian Capital Territory Insurance Authority.”.

Line 4, definition of *board*, omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Line 11, definition of *general manager*, omit “ACTIC”, substitute “ACTIA”.

Long title, page 1, omit ‘**Insurance Corporation (ACTIC)**’, substitute ‘**Insurance Authority (ACTIA)**’.

Remainder of Bill, as a whole, as amended, agreed to.

---

Question - That this Bill, as amended, be agreed to - put and passed.

## **19 DUTIES AMENDMENT BILL 2000 (NO 3)**

The order of the day having been read for the resumption of the debate on the question - That this Bill be agreed to in principle -

Debate resumed.

Question - That this Bill be agreed to in principle - put and passed.

Leave granted to dispense with the detail stage.

Question - That this Bill be agreed to - put and passed.

## **20 TERRITORY OWNED CORPORATIONS AMENDMENT BILL 2000**

The order of the day having been read for the resumption of the debate on the question - That this Bill be agreed to in principle -

Debate resumed.

Question - That this Bill be agreed to in principle - put and passed.

Leave granted to dispense with the detail stage.

Question - That this Bill be agreed to - put and passed.

## **21 TAXATION ADMINISTRATION AMENDMENT BILL 2000**

The order of the day having been read for the resumption of the debate on the question - That this Bill be agreed to in principle -

Debate resumed.

Question - That this Bill be agreed to in principle - put and passed.

Leave granted to dispense with the detail stage.

Question - That this Bill be agreed to - put and passed.

## **22 CRIMES (AMENDMENT) BILL (NO. 4) 1998**

The order of the day having been read for the resumption of the debate on the question - That this Bill be agreed to in principle -

Debate resumed.

Question - That this Bill be agreed to in principle - put and passed.

Leave granted to dispense with the detail stage.

Question - That this Bill be agreed to - put and passed.

## **23 ADJOURNMENT**

Mr Humphries (Manager of Government Business) moved - That the Assembly do now adjourn.

Debate ensued.

Question - put and passed.

And then the Assembly, at 8.57 p.m., adjourned until Tuesday, 5 September 2000 at 10.30 a.m.

---

---

**MEMBERS' ATTENDANCE:** All Members were present at some time during the sitting.

---

---

**M.J. McRAE**

Clerk of the Legislative Assembly