STANDING COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

Mrs Elizabeth Kikkert MLA (Chair), Mr Michael Pettersson MLA (Deputy Chair),
Mr Andrew Braddock MLA

## **Submission Cover Sheet**

Inquiry into Grants Management

**Submission Number: 08** 

Date Authorised for Publication: 8 December 2022



### Ginninderra Catchment Group Kat McGilp - Executive Officer

landcare@ginninderralandcare.org.au

Submission addressed to;

The Standing Committee on Public Accounts
ACT Legislative Assembly
LAcommitteePA@parliament.act.gov.au

#### **RE: Inquiry into Grants Management**

The Ginninderra Catchment Group welcomes this opportunity to provide a submission for this inquiry and extends our thanks to the Standing Committee on Public Accounts within the ACT Legislative Assembly, for recognising the importance of the process and management of grants programs within the ACT.

The Ginninderra Catchment Group [GCG] is both a community-based natural resource management organisation and a Landcare network, operating primarily in the northwest ACT Region. This community-driven organisation supports 29 Landcare Groups (including Parkcare, urban, rural, junior, and Aboriginal Landcare Groups) and coordinates numerous community engagement and landscape-scale restoration programs. GCG also facilitates citizen science and community education programs. GCG's mission is to 'connect, support and lead local communities to maintain and improve the health of the Ginninderra Catchment and surrounding environments'. Through our organisations work, we are regularly involved with various grants programs run across different ACT Governemnt Departments.

This submission is made on behalf of the GCG and its current 29 member groups, as listed below;

Budjan Galindji (Franklin)
Crace Landcare Group (Crace)
Croke Place Lions Landcare Group (Evatt)
Emu Creek Landcare Group (Belconnen)
Friends of Aranda bushland (Aranda)
Friends of Gossan Hill (Bruce)
Friends of Harrison Wetlands (Harrison)
Friends of Mt Painter (Cook)
Friends of Mulligans Flat (Forde)

Friends of the Pinnacle (Hawker)

Friends of Wangara Parks (Aranda)

Friends of Yerrabi Pond (Gungahlin)

Flea Bog Creek Landcare Group (Bruce)

Giralang Pond Landcare Group (Giralang)

Hall Landcare Group (Hall)

Hawker Community Landcare (Hawker)

Holt Micro-Forest (Holt)

Jarramlee Park Landcare (Dunlop)

Kuringa Woodlands Landcare Group (Fraser)

Landcare of Lawson (Lawson)

Macgregor Landcare Group (Macgregor)

Maribyrnong Community Climate Action Group (Kaleen)

Mt Rogers Landcare Group (Flynn)

Mulanggang Traditional Aboriginal Landcare Group (Various)

Native Grassland Restoration Landcare Group (Various)

North Belconnen Landcare Convenor (Evatt)

Umbagong Landcare Group (Latham)

Wallaroo Landcare Group (NSW)

Sutton Landcare Group (NSW)

GCG looks forward to the positive outcomes from this Inquiry into Grants Management, with greater benefits to our on-ground volunteers and community groups for an improved process for grants management.

#### 1) the range and availability of funding for grants programs;

The Ginninderra Catchment Group has been pleased to see the recent increases to total funding for grants under the EPSDD ACT Environment / Nature in the City Grants Program. In addition, we appreciate the revitalisation of the TCCS Adopt-A-Park grants program.

There are some issues around grant funding and budget allocation, as indicated below

- Grants should allow funding for project management, including community and Government Liaison, contract management, M and E, communications etc.
- In addition, grants should allow for 10% administration costs to cover financial management , insurances, office costs etc.
- Requirements for in-kind contribution are often larger than can be reasonabilty provided by
  organsiations and volunteers. Grants should not require the explicit in-kind contributions,
  equal to or greater than the grant value. In addition, the costing of volunteer hours at
  \$33.71/hr is too low.
- Many grants program including ACT Enviro and AAP would benefit by multiyear funding as
  opposed to 1 year funding to achieve the best outcomes. In addition, there would be
  benefit to consider running these two grants programs as smaller rounds twice a year rather
  than once every 12months.

#### 2) the manner in which grants are determined, including the:

#### a. oversight of funding determinations;

 Consistency across grant programs, as each program often has different requirements in information and level of detail they require not consistent with the calibre of funding provided.

#### c. independence of the assessment of projects;

• It is recommended that for grants implemented for the community, there should be inclusion of a community representative on the panel

# e. adequacy policy and legislative frameworks under which grants are administered;

- Deed of Grants and procurement processes are different across different Government
  Agencies, with Deeds often difficult to interpret for small NFP organisations and community
  groups or individuals who are new to the grant program.
- Acquittal requirements are also inconsistent across ACT Gov Agenciess, with some grants
  programs requiring extensive financial acquittal processes which indicates a level of mistrust
  from ACT Gov towards community based NFP organisations. Acquittal requirements should
  focus on reporting of outputs, achievements and grant deliverables rather an financial
  reporting.

#### 4) any other related matter.

#### General ACT Grants Feedback

- Grant Timelines need to be flexible to account for many variabilities, including weather and cliamte changes, land manger permissions and processes, community engagement and unforeseen disaters eg. covid, severe storms.
- Suggestions for grants to include an initial EOI before requiring more detailed write-up to avoid time wasted by volunteers, putting toegtehr detailed projract plans that do not receive funding.

#### **ACT Enviro Grants**

- Please review the previous <u>Review of the ACT Environment Grants</u> where GCG and other organisations made considerable contributions
- We greatly appreciate the increased flexibility from EPSDD and ACT NRM regarding grant administration, especially considering uncontrollable variants in deliver such as covid, weather/site conditions over the last 2-3 years.

#### Heritage Grants

 Heritage Grants have been difficult to manage when navigating ACT Government Heritage requirements, inclduing engaging with the local Aboriginal community and on Aboriginal Heritage places. This has reduced the potential for beneficial Ngunawal/Ngunnawal grants to get up and running.