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Mr Andrew Snedden
Committee Secretary
Select Committee on End of Life Choices in the ACT
Legislative Assembly for the ACT
GPO Box 1020
CANBERRA ACT 2601

By email to LACommitteeEOLC@parliament.act.gov.au

Dear Mr Snedden,

Inquiry into End of Life Choices in the ACT

Women's Forum Australia is an independent think tank established in 2005, which strives to create pro-woman cultural change, through research, education, mentoring and advocacy, with a particular focus on addressing behaviour that is harmful and abusive to women.

We thank you for the opportunity to make a submission as part of the Inquiry into End of Life Choices in the ACT. For our submission, we attach the following:

- A Fact Sheet on the ‘Gendered Risks of Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide’;
- A Research Note on the ‘Gendered Risks of Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide’;

One of the principal motivations for legalising euthanasia and assisted suicide is patient autonomy. However, the literature suggests that gendered risks may thwart women's autonomy in end of life decisions and make them uniquely vulnerable to assisted dying laws.
Taking into account gender differences in healthcare is important and if there is potential for gendered risks in legalising assisted dying, then this requires further consideration and research in advance of any legislative change.

We respectfully request that you take the matters we have raised in our submission into account as you undertake this inquiry. In light of the points we raise, we do not support the legalisation of assisted dying in the ACT.

We would welcome the opportunity to discuss any part of this submission with you. Please do not hesitate to contact me on [redacted] or by email: [redacted] if you require further information or clarification.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

Rachael Wong
Director of Research, Policy and Advocacy
FACT SHEET: The Gendered Risks of Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide

1. **Women tend to live longer than men** and are thus more likely to develop diseases and disabling conditions, or to experience elder abuse and discrimination, both of which could motivate the desire for euthanasia and assisted suicide. In terms of elder abuse, women are significantly more likely to be victims than men.

2. **Women are also more likely to experience the death of a partner or spouse** due to their relative longevity, and to be deprived of this support and companionship in older age. Studies have found that loneliness is an important predictor of suicide and a key motivation behind euthanasia and assisted suicide requests.

3. **Women have less economic resources when they are older**, the time when decisions about euthanasia and assisted suicide are most likely to occur. This entrenched economic disadvantage limits their options for care and means they are more likely to face other financially-related adversities, all of which could influence a decision for euthanasia or assisted suicide. Women are also more likely to have to pay for care than men.

4. **Women are arguably more self-sacrificial and less assertive than men** and may thus be more likely to decide for euthanasia and assisted suicide to spare their loved ones the burden of caring for them, or to be persuaded that their life is unworthy of other’s care and their family’s resources. There is evidence that the fear of being a burden influences some patients in their decisions for euthanasia and assisted suicide.

5. **Women demonstrate a stronger preference for more structured, passive methods of suicide, with significant physician participation** and it is clear that increasing numbers of women decide to die when offered the more passive options of euthanasia and assisted suicide.

6. **Women are more likely to attempt suicide than men as they are more prone to psychological problems such as depression**. If euthanasia and assisted suicide are legalised, this, coupled with women’s preference for more passive methods of suicide could have a harmful compounding effect on women’s decisions to die.

7. **Female euthanasia and assisted suicide need to be considered within the context of pervasive male violence against women, particularly against intimates**. Research indicates striking similarities between the broader patterns of male violence against women and at least one form of assisted death: ‘mercy killing’. There are more female mercy killings, mostly by men, who are most often the woman’s partner, and they are characterised by the same themes of domination, possessiveness and control as other gender-based violence.

8. **Women’s historical and ongoing experience of power imbalance and gender domination could ‘play out’ in a clinical relationship** (where the medical profession is traditionally paternalistic and still predominantly male) and influence their decision for euthanasia and assisted suicide.

9. **The majority of high profile euthanasia and assisted suicide cases are female**. Given the persistence of gender stereotypes and inequality, this raises concerns as to why this is the case and what kind of impact the media coverage of such cases has on women’s decisions for euthanasia and assisted suicide.

10. **These insights challenge the presumption that women who decide for euthanasia and assisted suicide are always exercising autonomy**. A legalised regime could in fact compound oppressive socio-cultural influences and facilitate the last of many non-choices for some women.

**For further Information** or a more detailed research note, please contact Ms Rachael Wong, Director of Research, Policy and Advocacy, Women’s Forum Australia, M: 0402 221 347, E: rachael.wong@womensforumaustralia.org
**RESEARCH NOTE, Jan 2018: The Gendered Risks of Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide**

**Background**

The question of whether or not to legalise euthanasia and assisted suicide is again being debated in Australia. Despite the continuing debate around the globe, only a handful of jurisdictions have legalised the practices. In keeping with this trend, NSW rejected an assisted dying bill in November 2017, as did South Australia in November 2016. In passing the Voluntary Assisted Dying Bill 2017, Victoria joined only 15 jurisdictions worldwide (9 countries).

**What are Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide?**

‘Euthanasia’ is the intentional killing of a patient, whereas ‘assisted suicide’ is suicide effected with the assistance of another, both with the intention to relieve suffering. They may be accomplished by active steps, usually the administration of a lethal drug, or passively, for example by deliberately withholding treatment.

Euthanasia and assisted suicide are distinct from a situation where extraordinary or disproportionate means of sustaining a patient’s life are withheld or rejected (i.e. high risk surgery for a patient dying of cancer). In this situation the intention is to reject or withdraw the burdensome treatment, not to kill the patient.

Euthanasia and assisted suicide are similarly distinct from a situation where a patient is given medication in order to alleviate their suffering, even if this risks hastening their death. Here the intention is to alleviate the patient’s suffering via the medication, not to kill them via the medication.

**General Concerns around Instituting Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide as Standard Medical Practices**

- The normalisation of suicide and what facilitating it in some instances but not others says about the different value society places on certain lives.
- The risk of coercion or pressure from partners, other family members, health professionals and the patient themselves if they have come to feel like a burden on others.
- The risk of non-voluntary euthanasia, when euthanasia is performed on a person who does not have the capacity to make the decision themselves, and involuntary euthanasia, when euthanasia is performed against a person’s will. Both have occurred in jurisdictions where euthanasia is legalised (Cohen-Almagor, 2003).
- The future expansion of the conditions for eligibility as demonstrated overseas (aka ’bracket creep’). Laws that once required a patient to have a terminal illness and to be over a certain age now allow euthanasia and assisted suicide for certain minors, psychosocial conditions, disability, and for those who are simply tired of life.
- The fallibility of medical diagnoses/prognoses and the potential for a person’s life to be mistakenly ended based on inaccurate medical information.
- The diminished capacity of patients who are facing terminal illness and experiencing severe suffering and the question of whether or not they are able to give truly informed consent to their lives being ended.
- The potential adverse impact on palliative care development when it is less burdensome, both economically and socially, to end a patient’s life.
- The ability of physicians to end the lives of their patients fundamentally changes the nature of the physician-patient relationship from one of healing and pledging to “do no harm” to one where physicians may take their patients’ lives. This may damage the relationship of trust and care between physicians and their patients and ultimately undermine the integrity of the medical profession.

* Much of this Research Note is based on an article by Dr Katrina George titled, ‘A Woman’s Choice? The Gendered Risks of Voluntary Euthanasia and Physician-Assisted Suicide’. Dr George was a former director of Women’s Forum Australia.
Why Women May be Uniquely Vulnerable to Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide Laws

There is a growing body of feminist academic literature that raises concerns about the rights and wellbeing of women and the impact that sex and gender may have on decisions regarding the end of life (see Wolf, 1996; Raymond, 1999; Canetto & Hollenshead, 2000; Parks, 2000; Platt, 2000; Allen, 2002; George, 2007; Callahan, 2015). Gender responsive healthcare is important and if there is the potential for gendered risks in legalising euthanasia and assisted suicide, then this requires further consideration and research in advance of any legislative change.

While eligibility under bills such as those in Victoria and NSW requires that a patient must be suffering from a terminal illness from which they will likely die in 12 months, the concern for women is that the final decision to end their lives may nevertheless be influenced by the following gender-specific risk factors, which challenge the rhetoric of “choice”:

1. **Women tend to live longer than men** and so are more likely to develop diseases and disabling conditions, or experience elder abuse and discrimination, both of which could motivate the desire for euthanasia and assisted suicide. The Australian Law Reform Commission’s report on elder abuse recognised that women are significantly more likely to be victims than men and that neglect of older women could be as high as 20% (ALRC, 2017: 37).

2. **Women are also more likely to experience the death of a partner or spouse** due to their relative longevity, and to be deprived of this support and companionship in older age. A 2013 Australian study found that living alone is an important predictor of suicide in older adults (De Leo, 2013). A 2016 American study found that loneliness was a key motivation behind euthanasia and assisted suicide requests of patients with “psychiatric” disorders in the Netherlands (Kim, 2016: 362). 70% of the cases reviewed were women and 76% were 50 years or older (Kim, 2016: 362). One woman in her 70s “without health problems” said she experienced life without her husband who had died one year earlier, as a “living hell” and “meaningless” (Kim, 2016: 364).

3. **Women have less economic resources when they are older** (Herald Sun, 2017), the time when decisions about euthanasia and assisted suicide are most likely to occur. This entrenched economic disadvantage limits their options for care and means they are more likely to face other adversities such as homelessness, being unable to leave violent relationships due to financial insecurity and the general struggles associated with financial hardship, all of which could influence a decision for euthanasia or assisted suicide. Women also receive less care assistance from family members than men and are more likely to have to pay for assistance even if their partner is still around (Roscoe, 2001: 444). Such economic disadvantage disproportionately affects women and there is evidence that it does influence requests for euthanasia and assisted suicide (Emmanuel, 1998: 510).

4. **Women are arguably more self-sacrificial and less assertive than men**, whether by nature, socialisation or simply in terms of society’s ideals about femininity (Callahan, 2015: 112; George, 2007: 18-22). They may thus be more likely to decide for euthanasia and assisted suicide to spare their loved ones the burden of caring for them, or to be persuaded that their life is unworthy of other’s care and their family’s resources. In a study of assisted suicides where the majority of cases were women, the fear of being a burden was a prominent reason for deciding for death (Canetto & Hollenshead, 2000). The ethic of self-sacrifice was summed up by a friend of one of the suicides who said: “She felt it was a gift to her family, sparing them the burden of taking care of her” (Canetto & Hollenshead, 2000: 180).

5. **Women demonstrate a stronger preference for more structured, passive methods of suicide, with significant physician participation** (George, 2007: 7), and it is clear that increasing numbers of women decide to die when offered the more passive options of euthanasia and assisted suicide (George, 2007: 25). The rate of assisted death by women in the Netherlands is nearly five times that of the usual female self-inflicted suicide rate (CBVDS, 2016; RTE, 2016: 8). One explanation for this might be that decisions for euthanasia and assisted suicide fit in with cultural expectations of women as passive and compliant, and play out gender expectations of subordination and dominance in a profession where physicians are still predominantly male (George, 2007: 23-27). One study has warned that the structure and passivity of euthanasia and assisted suicide “will create a sense of obligation on the part of a woman, especially one who subscribes to stereotypic sex roles to complete a physician-assisted death towards which she may be initially ambivalent” (Kaplan, 2002: 42).
6. **Women are more likely to attempt suicide than men as they are more prone to psychological problems such as depression** (The Guardian, 2015). Men are more likely to die by suicide as they often use more violent methods such as hanging or suffocation, whereas women tend to use nonviolent means, such as overdosing (The Guardian, 2015). However, if euthanasia and assisted suicide are enshrined into law, women’s preference for more passive methods of suicide coupled with their higher tendency to attempt suicide could have a harmful compounding effect on women’s decisions to die. This may already be evident in the 2016 American study reviewing euthanasia and assisted suicide cases of patients with psychiatric disorders in the Netherlands, in which 70% of the cases reviewed were women (Kim, 2016). While mental illness does not qualify a person for euthanasia or assisted suicide under the Victorian and NSW bills, neither does it disqualify them.

7. **Female euthanasia and assisted suicide need to be considered within the context of pervasive male violence against women, particularly against intimates** (George, 2007: 15). Research indicates striking similarities between the broader patterns of male violence against women and at least one form of assisted death: ‘mercy killing’ (George, 2007: 15). There is a higher incidence of female mercy killings, mostly by men, who are most often the woman’s partner, often without consent from the female victims (George, 2007: 15-18). They are also characterised by the same themes of domination, possessiveness and control which characterise other killings of women by men (George, 2007: 18). The prevalence of violence against women in Australia (particularly intimate partner violence) is concerning, with at least one woman a week being killed by a partner or former partner, and one in three Australian women having experienced physical violence since the age of 15 (Our Watch). Thus, before legalising euthanasia and assisted suicide, it is vital that we understand the dynamics at work and whether the dynamics underlying other forms of gendered violence and unbalanced power relationships that result in the deaths of women, may sometimes also underlie female euthanasia and assisted suicide (Wolf, 1996: 284-285).

8. **Women’s historical and ongoing experience of power imbalance and gender domination could ‘play out’ in a clinical relationship and influence their decision for euthanasia and assisted suicide** (George, 2007: 25). The medical profession is traditionally paternalistic and still predominantly male, and a male physician’s cooperation with a woman’s request for euthanasia or assisted suicide could reflect entrenched sexism and gender roles (George, 2007: 25). Similarly, women’s preferences for more structured, passive deaths at the hands of their (more often than not) male physicians could be an indication of gender dynamics at play (George, 2007: 27). One example of possible gender dynamics at play in the clinical relationship is in a study of euthanasia and assisted suicide in the United States (Meier, 1998: 1195). Relative to men, women died in circumstances where their requests were less likely to be explicit, less likely to be at their personal request and more likely to be initiated by family members or partners (George, 2007: 6).

9. **The majority of high profile euthanasia and assisted suicide cases are female** (George, 2007: 1, 25). Given the persistence of gender stereotypes and inequality, this raises the question of whether women are being used to portray euthanasia and assisted suicide because it “seems right” that women would passively and compliantly sacrifice themselves to prevent being a burden on their loved ones (whether consciously or not). It also raises concerns as to what kind of influence such media portrayal could have on women’s decisions to request euthanasia and assisted suicide, and whether such depictions of women could be self-fulfilling.

10. **Autonomy and choice is often the principal argument used for euthanasia and assisted suicide but these insights challenge the presumption that women who decide for euthanasia and assisted suicide are always exercising autonomy** (George, 2007: 18). Biological factors, structural inequalities, disparities in power, social and economic disadvantage and cultural stereotypes that may underlie the decisions of some women are gender distinct and challenge the rhetoric of choice (George, 2007: 2, 8). Even if there is a smaller incidence of women deciding for euthanasia and assisted suicide than men, the concern remains that the reasons behind their decisions could suggest a lack of autonomy (George, 2007: 2). By legitimising and increasing access to methods of suicide which appeal to women, the risk is that for some women a legalised regime will compound oppressive socio-cultural influences and facilitate the last of many non-choices (George, 2007: 33).

**Further Information**
For further information please contact Ms Rachael Wong, Director of Research, Policy and Advocacy, Women’s Forum Australia, M: 0402 221 347, E: rachael.wong@womensforumaustralia.org
References


One of the principal motivations behind current efforts to legalise assisted suicide in Victoria and New South Wales (and most jurisdictions) is patient autonomy. However, research suggests “gendered risks” may thwart women’s autonomy in end-of-life decisions, making them uniquely vulnerable to assisted suicide laws.

While eligibility under the Victorian and NSW bills requires that a patient must be suffering from a terminal illness from which they will likely die in 12 months, the concern for women is that the final decision to end their lives may nevertheless be influenced by risk factors that challenge the rhetoric of
choice”. Here are some of those “gendered risks”.

Longer life span

Women tend to live longer than men. This means they are more likely to develop diseases and disabling conditions, or experience elder abuse and discrimination, both of which could motivate the desire for assisted suicide.

The Australian Law Reform Commission’s report on elder abuse recognised that women are significantly more likely to be victims than men, and that the rate of neglect of older women could be as high as 20%.

More likely to experience their partner’s death

Women are also more likely to experience the death of a partner or spouse due to their relative longevity, and to be deprived of this support and companionship in older age. A 2013 Australian study found that living alone is an important predictor of suicide in older adults.

A 2016 US study found that loneliness was a key motivation for assisted suicide requests of patients with “psychiatric” disorders in the Netherlands. Of the cases reviewed, 70% were women and 76% were 50 years or older. One woman in her 70s “without health problems” said she experienced life without her husband as a “living hell” and “meaningless”.

Fewer economic resources in old age

Women have fewer economic resources when they are older, the time when decisions about assisted suicide are most likely to occur.

This entrenched economic disadvantage limits their options for care and means they are more likely to face other financially related adversities.

Women are also more likely to have to pay for care than men due to their male partners and families being less likely to care for them.

All these factors could influence a decision on assisted suicide.

More self-sacrificial and less assertive

Women are arguably more self-sacrificial and less assertive than men, whether by nature, socialisation or simply in terms of society’s ideals about femaleness.

So, they may be more likely to request assisted suicide to spare their loved ones the burden of caring for them, or be persuaded that their life is unworthy of others’ care and their family’s resources.

In a study of assisted suicides where the majority of cases were women, the fear of being a burden was a prominent reason for deciding for death. The ethic of self-sacrifice was summed up by a friend of one of the suicides, who said:
Preference for passive suicide methods

Women demonstrate a stronger preference for more structured, passive methods of suicide, with significant physician participation.

It is clear that increasing numbers of women decide to die when offered the more passive options of assisted suicide. The rate of assisted death of women in the Netherlands, Oregon and elsewhere is nearly four times that of the usual female suicide rate.

One explanation might be that decisions for assisted suicide fit in with cultural expectations of women as passive and compliant, and play out gender expectations of subordination and dominance in a profession where physicians are still predominantly male.

More likely to attempt suicide

Women are more likely to attempt suicide than men, as they are more prone to psychological problems such as depression.

While mental illness does not qualify a person for assisted suicide under the Victorian and NSW bills, neither does it disqualify them.

If assisted suicide is legalised, women’s greater propensity to attempt to take their lives as a result of psychological problems, coupled with their preference for more passive methods of suicide, could have a harmful compounding effect on women’s decisions to die. This may already be evident in the 2016 American study.

Entrenched patterns of violence against women

Female assisted suicide needs to be considered in light of pervasive male violence against women, particularly against intimates. Research indicates striking similarities between the broader patterns of male violence against women and at least one kind of assisted death: “mercy killing”.

American and Australian studies indicate that there are more female mercy killings in those countries, mostly by men, who are most often the woman’s partner, and these are characterised by the same themes of domination, possessiveness and control as other gender-based violence.

The prevalence of violence against women in Australia (particularly intimate partner violence) is a serious problem, and so it is vital that we understand whether the dynamics underlying other forms of gendered violence that result in the deaths of women may sometimes also underlie female assisted suicide.

Taking into account gender differences in health care is important. And if there is potential for gendered
risks in legalising assisted suicide, this requires further consideration and research in advance of any legislative change.

These insights challenge the presumption that women who decide for assisted suicide are always exercising autonomy. Legalisation could in fact compound oppressive sociocultural influences and facilitate the last of many non-choices for some women.