3 AUG 2015



LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY FOR THE AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY

SELECT COMMITTEE ON ESTIMATES 2015-16

MR BRENDAN SMYTH MLA (CHAIR), MS MEEGAN FITZHARRIS MLA (DEPUTY CHAIR), DR CHRIS BOURKE MLA,

QUESTION ON NOTICE

Asked by Alistair Coe on 19 June 2015 : The Treasurer took on notice the following question(s):

CMTEDD, Budget Paper 3

In relation to : Residential land sales

- (1) How many residential properties have been sold and how much has been collected in stamp duty in the (a) 2013-14 and (b) 2014-15 to date financial years, broken down by (i) month and (ii) suburb; and
- (2) What is the average unimproved value of residential land in the (a) 2013-14 and (b) 2014-15 to date financial years, broken down by (i) suburb and (ii) dwelling type (e.g. single dwelling, multi-dwelling properties).

Andrew Barr, Treasurer : The answer to the Member's question is as follows:-

- (1) The requested data of residential sales for 2013-14 is presented in Attachment A and YTD 2014-15 is presented in Attachment B. Please note that the data provided excludes financial accounting adjustments (e.g. provision for doubtful debts, refunds, cancellation).
- (2) The average unimproved value of residential sales for YTD 2014-15 and 2013-14, broken by suburb and dwelling type is shown in Attachment C. Please note that the overall average excludes religious residential, patio housing, diplomatic residential and broadacre development.

Approved for circulation to the Select Committee on Estimates 2015-16

Signature: Andrew Bun

Date: 1-8.2015

Suburb -	Ju Number of Lodgement	y 2013 Sum of Applied Duty		ust 2013 Sum of Applied Duty		nber 2013 Sum of Applied Duty	Octo Number of Lodgement	ber 2013 Sum of Applied Duty		nber 2013 Sum of Applied Duty		nber 2013 Sum of Applied Duty		ary 2014 Sum of Applied Duty
AINSLIE	7	107,990	6	202,145	12	233,930	14	314,935	14	379,865	4	40,240	5	109,020
AMAROO ARANDA	<u>16</u>	265,935	9	106,370	9	119,823 63,608	9	145,077 132,834	6	89,860 138,190	4	67,520 29,100	13	240,115 56,425
BANKS	. 4	109,550 139,370	12	146,039	4	10,860	3	33,770		79,505	6	86,410	8	121,680
BARTON	6	232,100	8	162,587	13	239,271	8	193,619	10	125,146	5	104,886	7	125,572
BELCONNEN	24	275,413	20	232,926	. 14	151,028	22	287,343	16	195,068	17	120,536	14	156,399
Bonner BONYTHON	25	199,586 16,863	25	253,874 47,403	19 4	285,559 41,580	23	366,834 76,893	<u>17</u>	196,539 43,350	19 5	248,824 76,583	23	279,831 60,263
Braddon	18	260,254	17	198,665	. 4	283,476	26	388,843	30	395,621	35	287,468	17	191,156
BRUCE	. 17	195,420	15	240,961	14	198,907	7	119,821	10	236,572	11	129,108	7	130,965
Caiwell	10	167,453	5	73,556	11	77,382	12	161,885	. 8	106,325	9	115,475	8	68,307
CAMPBELL CASEY	4	93,349 449,935	8 46	258,743 412,197	6 53	156,523 461,044	11 79	322,791 475,870	<u>10</u> 77	324,945 390,860	10 65	212,365 409,261	6 51	174,020 431,255
CHAPMAN	7	154,590	40	146,220	2	75,450	75		2	56,370	6	111,930	3	69,550
CHARNWOOD	. 5	63,405	3	22,863	4	33,638	5	43,895	5	49,592	7	71,422	3	27,586
CHIFLEY	5	26,276	3	38,193	3	25,711	4		3	50,720	4	82,040	2	40
CHISHOLM	12 10	157,813	4	40 308,737	12 23	107,273 458,605	9 13	105,175 275,744	3	37,075 222,493	8	82,268 239,335	<u>3</u> · 15	36,350 326,085
CONDER	4	138,968 44,710	9	147,140		132,355	. 5	112,835	4	95,950	12	192,690	8	98,273
COOK	14	223,820	1	29,350	5	59,070	6	113,675	5	44,095	8	100,870	2	29,700
Coombs	23	267,120	61	719,548	13	150,713	96	765,200	30	334,188	30	335,385	11	121,173
CRACE	78	728,127	32	310,666	37	411,942	44	473,562	33	313,552	16	378,127		97,301
DEAKIN	10	152,690 320,960	12	237,180	6	143,028 107,108	14 5	191,867 120,695	<u>12</u> 3	271,050 41,015	9 11	110,040 326,095	9	186,475 267,515
DICKSON	6	116,530		111,325	4	54,720	5 5	58,530	5	76,765	8	104,124	7	44,968
DOWNER	8	125,845	9	60,142	4	57,385	5	106,913	7	117,180	12	166,019	8	78,145
DUFFY	7	117,750	8	97,665	6	137,525	7	69,631	5	128,075	4	71,445	5	95,925
DUNLOP EVATT	15 13	208,223 185,764	10 11	<u>132,428</u> 155,140	15 5	224,215 62,948	19 5	220,695 57,568	16 5	262,742 90,280	12 6	144,845 87,500	9	153,415 58,655
Fadden	13	185,764	2	155,140	- 5	62,948 74,545	2	39,525	3	50,280	8	87,500	3	60,525
FARRER	9	230,033	5	54,195	4	118,250	6	101,748	7	119,520	5	60,760	3	80,100
FISHER	6	42,410	12	117,207	8	131,155	9	159,980	9	115,315	8	147,850	5	44,625
FLOREY	6	66,970	7	69,820	5	79,700	9	131,500	6	73,748	5	59,433	6	97,605
FLYNN FORDE	15	315,813	7	85,689 214,158	1 13	19,500 239,454	1 12	15,480 242,853	4	52,655 358,463	5 14	86,225 255,963	11 20	156,930 388,379
FORREST	4	224,858		33,115	15	239,454 118,250	6	242,855	4	147,223	14	255,565 286,020	20	242,325
FRANKLIN	18	223,144	18	172,394	9	129,648	21	171,170	28	189,620	24	159,995	20	206,075
FRASER	2	37,305	3	57,800	2	34,275			4	60,070	1	13,500	4	74,850
GARRAN	7	141,345	6	235,750	7	148,700	5	148,495 15,005	3	1,42,940	6	75,890	4	73,864
GILMORE		44,067	11	55,225 140,353	4	41,633 125,320	2	89,295	9	114,238 63,120	4	44,685 55,396	7	35,845 103,335
GORDON	23	335,972	12	160,885	18	247,297	15	207,705	14	157,750	14	180,718	14	198,440
GOWRIE	3	63,675	2	33,100	3	13,768	4	53,950	6	70,345	7	111,325	4	36,700
GREENWAY	6	82,440	3	42,885	6	102,623	5	47,773	2	12,825	3	46,855	В	32,258
GRIFFITH GUNGAHLIN	14 14	410,356 233,750		342,190 83,899	12 6	220,125 117,285	17 8	416,775 128,572	<u>16</u> 7	277,035	27 6	794,360 101,357	<u>12</u> 7	388,683 116,875
HACKETT	4	69,520	7	79,705	4	32,870	3	34,877	3	83,800	3	63,995	2	23,800
HALL	1	-	1	-	1	64,400			1	44,927				
HARRISON	30	320,285	17	186,384	26	436,730	22	254,043	28	305,000	28	295,568	22	199,398
HAWKER	4	47,989	7	79,299	6	181,144	5	104,520	8	79,641	3	54,765	4	111,895
HIGGINS HOLDER	5	80,275 76,175	5	63,508 41,770	6	100,945 113,640	6	74,265 46,525	8 5	84,053 75,375	9	115,145 40,000	3	30,083 16,875
Holt	10	91,198	7	60,163	5	29,565	9	111,885	13	146,171	4	35,345	14	155,653
HUGHES	8	204,218	5	101,455	4	43,120	7	73,695	3	16,465	1	10,350	4	98,120
ISAACS	2	32,850	1		6	153,975	5	107,017	5	70,220	3	46,970	3	105,000
ISABELLA Jacka	11 6	123,398 23,839	6	98,500 8,273	10 3	132,585 5,809	8	85,308 27,96 1	10 6	144,518 20,026	11 12	131,303 53,122	4	61,615 44,860
KALEEN	12	182,723	5	86,524	12	142,925	10	173,442	12	197,115	. 12	131,540	12	148,465
Kambah	28	342,840	19	222,325	19	275,600	21	218,745	20	258,355	24	348,435	19	244,399
KINGSTON	28	477,468	36	817,974	24	540,660	28	544,233	35	755,924	33	581,381	24	569,927
Latham LAWSON	7	77,780	4	65,350	5	69,735	7	90,435	5	68,695		88,231	3	15,026 261,205
Lyneham	11	196,035	14	262,412	6	70,195	13	433,465	10	235,922	9	143,315	14 11	84,600
LYONS	5	52,905	4	73,508	2	5,438	4	22,471	3	49,675	5	82,511	4	36,455
MACARTHUR			3	51,675	1	23,100			1	21,200			3	25,850
MACGREGOR	17	231,546	14	140,470	10	98,141	32	210,934	28	168,836	13	100,308	8	80,650
MACQUARIE MAWSON	4	87,020	7	105,735 151,510	1 4	20 15,790	3	45,810 146,275	· 5 6	84,075 138,440	4 9	49,145 176,308	5	78,245 162,579
MCKELLAR	2	13,275	3	72,350	3	38,000	(. 4	61,930	2	52,850	10	
MELBA	2	7,545	6	78,095	7	122,050	8	118,305	3	15,265	5	103,685	5	44,020
MONASH	З	41,200	. 11	113,438	3	40,761	8	113,365	4	57,445	8	121,958	8	77,670
NARRABUNDAH NGUNNAWAL	9 25	212,033 271,979	8 25	78,093 242,707	8 24	149,020 222,388	18 34	292,420 291,858	11 49	135,823 363,373	10 36	228,993 306,642	20	448,565 369,818
NICHOLLS	23	155,928	5	86,095	7	156,525	12	291,858	11	356,815	5	113,470	19	427,885
OAKS ESTATE									. 1	3,850	1	13,275		
O'CONNOR	12	254,603	14	275,605	12	271,803	9	128,827	9	244,332	8	201,524	18	469,883
O'MALLEY Oxley	2.	186,075	1	21,350	2	130,200 27,225	5	103,070	2	57,150 44,563	2	21,370	1	32,525 15,995
PAGE	6	54,760	4	45,020	4	41,893	8	93,070	5	69,795	7	63,918	6	53,890
PALMERSTON	8	81,288	13	209,093	5	64,620	9	152,095	7	100,175	10	154,831	10	102,533
PEARCE	7	84,185	7	132,398	3	20,837	8	84,733	6	84,200	7	113,120	3	47,518
PHILLIP	12	122,374	16	132,856	7	77,486	. 7		8	72,055	23	162,191	15	130,226
RED HILL REID	6 1	169,213	4	320,700 54,620	3	60 13,725		180,625	5 1	252,120 10,350	5	792,720	5	248,085 125,610
RICHARDSON	8	92,450	3	26,120	5	51,915	9	112,290	4	38,761	6	81,112	4	53,897
RIVETT	3	18,435	3	14,870	10	160,365	5	78,395	6	68,085	8	104,503	8	88,220
SCULLIN	5	59,177	4	37,946	8	99,930	3		4	38,409	3	41,576	5	69,750
SPENCE	6	72,550	5	42,723	2	19,620	4		9	70,235	5	71,418	2	21,325
THEODORE	6	61,225	11	132,860	10	20,000	7	12,150	6	25,620 83,310	6	20 94,805	5	78,320
TORRENS	6	92,263	3	20,870	3	51,950	5	99,495	1	-	3	48,880	4	54,745
TUGGERANONG														
TURNER	9	94,488	7	146,350	11	165,004	10	115,457	13	284,753	14	311,233	21	258,615
Uriarra Village WANNIASSA	14	169,575	1	23,350 148,070	12	134,518	1 10	12,780 89,655	10	147,210	1	12,780 130,545		119,520
WANNIASSA	<u>14</u> 5	99,800	9	148,070	5	53,710	8	133,415	2	31,050	5	92,958	8	20
WATSON	21	284,280	8	105,732	10	106,912	10	157,200	10	181,549	15	197,158	12	215,303
WEETANGERA	1	21,725	5	114,366	5	97,390	8	211,785	6	108,600	· 6	141,970	Э	68,650
WESTON	5	46,422	9	156,698	7	197,250	4		6	117,335	. 4	70,225	2	46,700
Wright	28	292,417	31	308,843 303,650	20 1	310,362 110,000	<u>32</u> 12	399,862 461,145	44 5	462,595 186,545	31	443,622 146,705	23 16	223,352 633,740
YARRALUMLA	3	63,570	6											

Α

	Febr	uary 2014	Mar	ch 2014	Ap	ril 2014	Ma	ay 2014	Jun	e 2014	20	13-14
Suburb	Number of	Sum of Applied	Number of	Sum of Applied	Number of	Sum of Applied	Number of	Sum of Applied	Number of	Sum of Applied	Total Count	Total Sum of
	Lodgement	Duty	Lodgement	Duty	Lodgement	Duty	Lodgement	Duty	Lodgement	Duty	of Lodgement	Applied Duty
AINSLIE	5	118,440	5	107,600	11	313,005	13	228,109	4	85,100	100	2,240,379
AMAROO	4	56,025	11	207,690	12	249,545	6	121,475	16	262,248	115	1,931,682
ARANDA BANKS	3	116,350 53,200	2	46,150	8	154,185 121,548	3 11	48,595 154,733	5	138,075 117,345	45	1,144,916
BARTON	5	212,004	6	135,340	1.0	207,068	11	180,186	8	209,905	97	2,127,682
BELCONNEN	<u>15</u> 17	126,609 185,050	16 19	153,097 288,848	36	518,409 165,083	28	231,321	28 30	225,246 218,499	250 262	2,674,394 3,010,955
Bonner BONYTHON	6	47,737	8	135,210	3	47,195	7	322,430 84,330	8	69,400	60	746,804
Braddon	23	229,432	24	308,950	16	186,080	21	318,435	21	307,504	264	3,355,883
BRUCE	8	72,130	7	89,748	10	115,365	11	123,926	10	119,941	127	1,772,862
Calwell CAMPBELL	116	134,175 162,340	12	101,873 166,215	9	174,250	8	116,430 117,298	6 12	87,395 282,087	109 89	1,384,505 2,346,149
CASEY	79	435,572	55	477,783	48	449,576	70	588,227	80	431,672	771	5,413,254
CHAPMAN	6	118,590	7	183,280	5	123,540	3	98,575	4	142,750	54	1,373,790
CHARNWOOD	4	47,610 83,250	<u>З</u> 8	38,475 119,603	8	67,988 174,920	6	73,353 40,700	3	29,888 53,273	56 48	569,713 775,345
CHISHOLM	8	67,465	13	169,888	7	54,900	3	42,780	12	110,693	94	971,718
CITY	16	319,905	1.7	306,615	13	263,857	13	310,469	11	133,599	169	3,304,411
CONDER	12	209,665 22,850	5	117,020 202,678	7	98,860	12 6	141,110 88,770	9 7	154,866 77,967	94	1,545,474 1,097,377
Coombs	10	90,615	32	329,600	42	259,803	100	384,583	59	223,997	507	3,981,922
CRACE	52	351,489	95	407,700	28	282,730	29	299,680	29	231,544	481	4,286,419
DEAKIN	4	116,975 336,665	9	172,778 252,475	11	259,978 249,930	12	198,350 388,405	6	115,620 91,505	115 96	2,156,029 2,621,337
DICKSON	3	22,320	5	104,939	2	41,825	10	149,540	8	190,178	70	1,075,763
DOWNER			1.0	165,470	5	83,120	12	224,403	7	124,160	87	1,308,781
DUFFY DUNLOP	2	48,745	9 11	184,920 169,560	8 9	203,180 92,288	5	103,945	6 15	109,201 196,575	72	1,368,007 2,090,224
EVATT	9	98,582	11	138,005	9	137,195	6	80,570	3	45,910	91	1,198,116
Fadden	2.	56,025	4	108,600	4	18,410	4	61,550	8	153,345	50	966,830
FARRER FISHER	8	145,095 44,565	7	85,400 96,488	3	51,800 119,795	7	229,520 116,991	8	188,900 155,265	<u>72</u> 91	1,465,321 1,291,645
FLOREY	4	71,385	8	96,488	8	119,795	4	74,300	. 9	145,345	. 77	1,291,645
FLYNN	. 3	19,340	3	58,350	2	13,408	5	83,825	8	103,537	50	694,938
FORDE	15	251,092 162,500	17	324,063 108,650	<u>11</u> 7	187,910 318,870	<u>17</u> 5	273,333 117,355	6 5	123,313 389,463	171 46	3,174,791 2,386,948
FRANKLIN	33	176,242	17	179,764	25	119,411	23	236,878	27	331,074	263	2,295,413
FRASER	2	21,132	3	54,975	2	21,100	3	20	2	33,700	28	408,727
GARRAN	5	25,870	9	278,110	9	219,773	8	175,477 32,170	12 2	196,555	81 52	1,862,768 721,949
GILMORE	10	125,760 159,816	3	124,595 46,040	6	63,375 81,100	7	115,550	3	25,353 25,784	76	1,078,865
GORDON	13	217,680	19	254,464	8	98,373	14	226,174	. 19	251,702	183	2,537,158
GOWRIE	5	82,920	3	51,078	2	33,800	1	16,065	8	117,118	48	683,843
GREENWAY GRIFFITH	8	160,683	4	23,080 404,018	10	99,998 324,940	3 16	47,570 354,430	4	39,775 230,994	46	578,080 4,324,586
GUNGAHLIN	10	179,785	10	132,540	13	276,503	19	254,230	7	90,398	112	1,827,844
HACKETT	8	133,645	4	129,108	10	214,280	5	49,635	. 5	95,700	58	1,010,935
HALL HARRISON	34	233,402	43	361,913	40	377,956	39	128,470 403,991		377,305	7	237,797 3,751,974
HAWKER	5	105,508	5	111,850	5	132,452	4	79,020	2	10,065	59	1,098,147
HIGGINS	2		3	30,600	5	54,173	6	72,185	3	30,175	61	735,406
HOLDER Holt	4	37,505 65,135	3	52,370 91,119	8	45,900 75,123		126,645 152,088	5 15	67,365 124,350	47	740,145
HUGHES	3	79,625	2	70,275	5	105,980	4	93,895	8	177,985	54	1,075,182
ISAACS	2	30,128	7	127,765	8	169,575	4	73,045	2	39,533	48	956,078
ISABELLA Jacka	4	49,095 9,341	<u>6</u> 10	66,795 21,301	8	44,705	6 5	98,066 11,735	5 6	85,714 29,027	90 77	1,121,600 272,543
KALEEN	5	72,545	9	118,253	8	111,280	12	183,364	5	12,660	112	1,560,835
Kambah	18	265,428	31	363,651	27	353,540	24	348,067	14	166,154	264 327	3,407,538
KINGSTON Latham	26	486,757 17,850	31.	822,556 137,458	16	414,496 37,764	26	690,089 69,795	20	442,135	62	7,143,600 803,289
LAWSON	37	550,785	· 44	674,595	3	41,730			8	144,550	106	1,672,865
Lyneham	8	130,470	14	188,440	16	147,668	16	383,533	13	218,131	141	2,494,183
LYONS MACARTHUR	9	103,974 72,800	7	95,741 85,175	. 26	181,357	15	298,500 26,815	17 3	26,579 34,352	101	1,029,113 361,567
MACGREGOR	16	155,029	15	167,246	13	148,019	17	214,177	17	158,965	200	1,874,321
MACQUARIE	14	73,230	11	133,639	3	36,370	6		5	81,375	68	838,315
MAWSON	8	134,795	7	103,551 113,225	<u>11</u> 6	234,111 118,895	9	155,660	5	76,428	90 33	1,582,906 644,445
MELBA	. 2	17,620	10	139,650	7	108,580	8	154,658	2	15,568	65	925,040
MONASH	8	95,400	8	137,825	7	74,563	5	74,975	3	10,633	76	959,231
NARRABUNDAH	6	86,745 314,270	· 12 61	213,595 391,307	12	242,710 303,500	20	397,881 338,202	10 54	220,578 358,244	144 510	2,706,454 3,774,288
NICHOLLS	9	201,985	10	244,450	17	540,900	7	200,565	11	156,195	122	2,917,178
OAKS ESTATE											2	17,125
O'CONNOR O'MALLEY	13	208,783	18	287,995	19	294,699 44,245	17	222,169	19	458,381 157,395	168	3,318,600 912,005
Oxley	2	34,045	^	10-1,030	3	47,365	3	53,925	2	21,480	27	401,323
PAGE	5	26,385	10	83,608	6		12		4	24,233	77	731,701
PALMERSTON PEARCE	6	66,165 75,300	10	140,885 225,987	8	65,560 121,145	12		8	106,804 151,355	106	1,458,482
PHILLIP	16	171,926	11	122,912	7		13	123,158	11	97,907	146	1,349,950
RED HILL	7	110,985	9	290,685	3	81,320	4	64,048	12	639,790	69	3,150,350
REID RICHARDSON	2		5		3		4 6		3	42,570	31	626,644 669,062
RIVETT	7				5		3		2	18,120	68	867,183
SCULLIN	7	95,500	8	94,288	6		6		4	64,762	63	725,553
SPENCE	3		5		3		7		5	28,871 15,995	56	619,519 237,135
THEODORE	5		6		3		8		3	36,270	20	1,063,244
TORRENS	2		2		3		6		3	17,215	41	649,750
TUGGERANONG		400.0		74 +	1	4		400.000	2	105,020	2	105,020
TURNER Urlarra Village	13	199,893	10	214,723	10		14	183,003	13	239,948	145	2,391,674
WANNIASSA	8		16		10	117,445	18	289,213	11	134,956	195	1,783,177
WARAMANGA	5				4		<u> </u>		3		53	765,813
WATSON	13				13		12		9		143 56	2,442,829
WESTON	5				5	116,225	4		5	97,000	63	1,258,294
Wright	29	265,232	36	449,661	32	252,254	62	412,810	88	465,840	456	4,286,850
YARRALUMLA	5						8		1 100		92	3,570,144
Grand Total	929	11,684,856	1,165	16,715,532	1,000	14,582,555	1,170	15,765,293	1,100	14,416,482	11,940	169,106,34

A

ĩ	2
T	\supset
4	~

	Jul	y 2014	Aug	ust 2014	Septer	nber 2014	Octo	ber 2014	Nover	nber 2014	Decen	nber 2014	Janu	ary 2015
Suburb	Number of Lodgement	Sum of Applied Duty	Number of Lodgement	Sum of Applied Duty	Number of Lodgement	Sum of Applied Duty	Number of Lodgement	Sum of Applied Duty	Number of Lodgement	Sum of Applied Duty	Number of Lodgement	Sum of Applied Duty	Number of Lodgement	Sum of Applied Duty
	_													
AINSLIE	11 8	139,980 108,840	<u>6</u> 7	119,670 80,714	8 12	100,430 176,520	8	153,415 124,083	10	176,035 73,117	5	175,000 54,369	9	128,006 118,255
ARANDA	1	-	2	34,800	6	102,959	4	93,720	3	80,635	5	84,460	6	85,242
BANKS BARTON	7	81,309 162,534	7	80,826 184,412	8	90,453 212,432	9	75,949 82,960	8	71,210 196,218	8	<u>69,444</u> 340,008	<u>10</u> 6	139,889 91,351
BELCONNEN	29	278,696	9	117,766	26	154,457	44	329,466	30	255,465	32	201,248	60	264,234
Bonner BONYTHON	27 3	249,717 40,238	19	180,500	25	335,509 55,890	23	217,867 48,011		244,926 52,762	17 3	216,452 29,089	· 15 6	130,337 25,020
Braddon	18	179,122	12	173,554	16	193,800	23	335,984	23	213,295	22	248,628	37	465,142
BRUCE Calwell	15 13	263,814 153,578	<u>16</u> 10	149,128 98,352	13 5	76,394 69,410	10 9	88,513 111,590		245,092 74,234	14 12	174,294 156,324	9	133,532 74,209
CAMPBELL CASEY	7 40	216,435	2	11,738	10	192,430	9	148,335	12	483,528	3	132,310	2	38,400
CHAPMAN	40	266,886 220,444	39 5	335,462 190,275	<u>52</u> 7	441,489 103,040	28 1	237,925 12,688	<u>33</u> 7	<u>917,032</u> 125,490	33	279,479 120,775	24	196,543 70,270
CHARNWOOD	9 5	53,097	4	36,630	4	51,601	3	35,988	4	10,633	7	48,953	2	21,848
CHIFLEY CHISHOLM	5	69,780 53,280	5	58,920 125,476	<u>4</u> 7	67,770 87,267	/ 11	94,163 78,096	4	133,964 41,532	<u> </u>	95,415 92,034	5	81,124 48,799
CITY CONDER	6 12	143,734 192,173	10 8	191,587 94,717	17 6	278,922 59,603	<u>17</u> 9	349,481 162,671	20	384,975 139,666	15 14	279,229	<u>11</u> 13	141,318
COOK	6	79,549	5	48,225	2	36,975	8	86,297	7	135,940	4	214,973 51,355	5	163,079 79,718
Coombs	39 15	302,808 212,701	55 •15	281,552 262,803	53 24	193,456 248,893	35 15	163,178 117,171	43	249,546 143,700	26	179,972 228,145	<u>16</u> 11	108,884 196,420
CURTIN	4	67,820	10	155,923	10	192,680	16	373,605	17	411,340	7	124,290	12	307,458
DEAKIN DICKSON	9 14	291,945 167,876	7	185,045 30,595	3	30,920 51,965		165,248 67,643	10	270,660 112,858	13 2	296,675 20	<u>11</u> 6	361,790 52,579
DOWNER	10	112,700	5	93,499	8	82,933	5	109,073	4	48,120	· 8	134,164	9	85,415
DUFFY	5 17	69,367 159,184	4	43,501 130,187	10 17	157,315 230,340	<u>2</u> 13	33,885 120,912	<u> </u>	48,255	4	167,425 141,603	6	114,431 87,410
EVATT	9	42,979	8	97,233	9	123,415	7	90,614	7	77,084	11	128,415	6	88,474
Fadden FARRER	2		75	149,000 104,936	5	96,590 69,420	<u>7</u> 9	193,750 137,159	<u>5</u> 8	119,140 211,020	5	104,575 122,380	5	85,350 56,405
FISHER	6	78,180	3	24,358	б	111,765	5	50,155	• 4	88,950	6	88,113	5	90,728
FLOREY .	3	63,725 49,040	5	68,164 31,775	4	27,263 93,690	4 7	34,721 68,671	12 6	84,909 36,327	8	102,353 73,320	12 6	90,785 90,513
FORDE	. 15	246,215	13	140,375	16	219,496	5	68,050	16	374,903	7	135,050	9	165,040
FORREST	3 25	184,705 264,298	4	304,350 279,714	6 25	263,145	<u>8</u> 25	482,120 180,130	6 42	388,965 345,186	6 39	320,643 320,464	4	<u>191,741</u> 315,998
FRASER	. 4	59,861	2	14,990	4	54,586	2	33,483	2	29,291	1	7,325	3	49,713
GARRAN GILMORE	9	189,447 87,385	6 6	148,665 63,375	<u>7</u>	223,220 68,412		240,300 26,599	11	260,848 33,690	6 2	151,265 21,800	4	<u>116,020</u> 49,505
GIRALANG	5	66,687	2	30,073	6	82,426	3	57,716	3	27,263	8	106,708	3	16,900
GORDON GOWRIE	12	<u>114,585</u> 41,870	13 3	<u>133,743</u> 31,483	<u>8</u> 5	85,887 75,740	9	101,425 39,550	<u>12</u> 5	151,395 80,207	13 6	144,121 38,640	15	161,027 79,209
GREENWAY	6	63,075	4	45,073	5	40,103	5	65,825	3	27,097	6	83,525	18	213,682
GRIFFITH GUNGAHLIN	12 11	207,489		150,306 90,727	5 6	212,420 78,658	7 10	280,750 164,093	15 13	387,283 186,191	16	424,205 114,511	14 9	274,798 135,596
HACKETT	7	112,630	6	64,073	7	114,540	4	109,970	9	166,350	4	44,770	5	121,290
HALL HARRISON	45	20 427,517	21	180,297	42	308,054	<u>1</u> 32	31,875 339,343	. 14	183,514	29	231,626	22	178,833
HAWKER	7	55,088	4	52,467	9	199,399	9	194,774	5	97,690	6	91,258	2	71,230
HIGGINS	5	30,018 147,702	4	16,345 80,566	5	62,626 24,520	8 5	119,638 42,232	5	23,113 97,548	1	12,895 49,035	7	82,784 58,420
Holt	11	80,157	11	102,732	15	96,423	15	124,922	14	59,754	17	142,785	5	36,118
HUGHES ISAACS	3	112,800 49,521	4	59,290 52,750	3	46,085	6 5	170,593 77,350	7 3	181,343 81,525	<u>7</u> 6	154,175 139,710	5	139,238 214,002
ISABELLA	9	108,520	2	29,775	8	92,011	5	54,150	10	147,140	6	67,500	4	34,327
Jacka KALEEN	2	40 81,689	<u>6</u> 9	17,706 118,352	3	27,460 200,781	7	15,713 153,060	3 16	50 280,052	5 10	11,518 125,502	· 2 10	40
Kambah	21	296,561	22	201,751	20	259,396	26	272,252	22	257,406	22	249,032	31	314,676
KINGSTON Latham	18	559,946 45,720	33	781,704 39,806	29	527,227 14,348	<u>31</u> 4	451,896 46,449	50 7	989,948 85,033	40	696,044 61,185	37	709,636 68,854
LAWSON	9	111,146	17	202,906	33	220,520	В	105,360	3	34,380	2	62,013	6	71,630
Lyneham LYONS	12 9	138,778 84,638	8	193,204 43,870	6	95,660 132,033	<u>10</u> 8	108,355 116,293	<u>11</u> 6	110,335 97,905	5	99,415 110,745	7	130,641 132,082
MACARTHUR	1	20	2	43,650	2	49,225	1	23,200	3	43,920	5	59,760	1	19,800
MACGREGOR MACQUARIE	14 2	<u>96,717</u> 40	14 9	<u>181,715</u> 54,520	9	128,118 51,081	<u>8</u> 5	97,205 57,205	17 5	<u>187,712</u> 43,811	17 7	220,188 55,311	8	39,932 51,155
MAWSON	7	92,154	5	85,515	11	120,622	13	235,108	12	185,991	6	90,405	7	109,600
MCKELLAR MELBA	4 5	58,850 42,320	3	30,235 41,850	2	58,950	3.9	35,434 115,546	5	80,345 25,375	1	48,050 135,870	2	49,885 105,086
MONASH	11	147,474	9	127,688	4	45,529	5	60,223	8	145,415	8	111,806	5	70,875
MONCRIEFF NARRABUNDAH	21	310,248	5	141,652	13	277,367	12	220,313	10	36,616 143,058	<u> </u>	142,786 265,443	68 9	220,281 170,843
NGUNNAWAL	33	245,307	41	288,502	36	243,361	38	335,261	40	236,203	40	225,660	40	337,363
NICHOLES OAKS ESTATE	8	155,390	12	240,303		146,013	12	294,369	11 1	251,475 106,838	14	412,008	11	275,146
O'CONNOR	9	154,620	11	168,030	16	267,358	21	355,888	11	330,195	25	459,596	10	273,237
O'MALLEY Oxley	1	84,000 19,710	3	95,355 20	2	26,820	1	<u>33,175</u> 24,856	3	47,174	3	151,233	1	14,200
PAGE	7	52,439	8	64,311	9	84,070	11	118,409	8	42,625	• 6	26,845	10	83,117
PALMERSTON PEARCE	12 5	122,003 69,220	13 3	157,930 32,353	<u>11</u> 4	<u>144,838</u> 97,780	5 10	77,672 84,413	12	207,238 51,833	9	133,841 68,693	9	84,204 64,800
PHILLIP	6	74,334	7	29,922	19	147,917	26	154,166	102	270,481	54	184,258	19	141,895
RED HILL REID	4	140,975 106,398	2	107,853 62,650	6 5	291,168 134,145	6	417,215 69,238	5	269,390 273,370	<u>3</u> 28	60,998 169,350	7	264,418 54,475
RICHARDSON	6 5	72,053			5	45,209	6	48,717	5	70,386	1 4	•	5	46,102
RIVETT SCULLIN	5 13	68,370 69,743	4		5 14	48,717 109,773	<u>8</u> 6	89,783 82,685	5	61,933 77,762	4	50,220 24,855	6	53,197 82,537
SPENCE	1	-	4	52,648	4	49,294	6	53,654	5	46,925	6	81,465	3	30,207
STIRLING SYMONSTON		16,450	3	46,223		66,423		139,913	2	40,225	4	83,950	2	42,300
THARWA	1	19,800 24,213	1	14,763	1	3,200 75,788		81,597	5	Acord		87 402		
THEODORE TORRENS	2	24,213	4	14,763	2	75,788 46,035	<u>6</u> 2	81,597 20,320	5	46,351 91,273		87,196 64,843	5	30,156 30,575
TURNER	10	134,093	10	215,642	7	338,175	5	69,453	5	63,368	16	291,553	16	263,570
Urlarra Village WANNIASSA	1 13	14,244 161,739	9	85,258	1 _10	16,050 142,289	13	140,957	1	20,800	17	182,455	8	50,389
WARAMANGA	1	16,200	1	12,040	4	47,638	3	46,422	6	68,827	4	56,426	2	23,570
WATSON WEETANGERA	13	155,73B 132,980	4	60,645 55,480	<u>11</u> 6	143,270 87,625	<u>12</u> 5	185,801 134,400	10	143,057 26,970	113	109,777 27,090	13	184,898 50,723
WESTON	5	71,136	3	36,942	4	98,845	7	115,865	7	118,305	2	25,070	9	82,289
						173 154		291,103	26	240140	16	1 CC 701		
Wright YARRALUMLA	28	236,248	21	67,269 304,683	<u>29</u> 6	172,151 221,313	37	284,256	15	<u>349,148</u> 510,490	10	65,791 472,378	31	193,925 183,713

.

Suburb	Number of Lodgement	ary 2015 Sum of Applied Duty	Number of Lodgement	h 2015 Sum of Applied Duty	Number of Lodgement	il 2015 Sum of Applied Duty	Number of Lodgement	y 2015 Sum of Applied Duty	Total Count of Lodgement	y 2014-15 Total Sum of Applied Duty
AINSLIE	6	118,409	9	179,830	10	327,785	11	208,955	93	1,827,51
AMAROO	8	78,118	11	132,279	12	200,954	22	328,369	107	1,475,61
ARANDA BANKS	4	80,540	5 10	161,408 128,160	3 10	86,638	8	148,053	47	958,45
BARTON	16	76,569 206,343	10	265,000	10	183,727 122,388	10 20	98,836 325,761	133	1,096,37 2,190,40
BELCONNEN	41	351,362	41	340,015	44	401,235	22	194,441	378	2,888,38
Bonner	18	186,540	19	164,445	19	178,634	24	317,491	224	2,422,41
BONYTHON	7	31,711	5	71,511	6	47,111	12	109,870	68	588,43
Braddon	18	156,675	38	536,966	43	570,418	37	429,417	287	3,503,00
BRUCE	14	111,464	12	211,110	19	149,721	13	305,618	154	1,908,67
Calwell		61,733	13	155,857	10	136,605	14	171,607	118	1,263,49
CAMPBELL	3	70,720	7	256,185	10	346,610	11	444,563	76	2,341,25
CASEY	26	229,893		561,982	33	325,208	33	316,783	391	3,508,68
CHAPMAN	4	79,875	3	81,900	6	103,165	6	131,250	55	1,239,17 359,37
CHIFLEY	6	14,763	4	14,990 23,050	4	36,692	4	34,182 125,462	46 68	983,24
CHISHOLM	3	14,680	14	146,077	12	162,362	10	124,843	83	914,44
CITY	11	145,863	20	311,795	13	272,371	19	383,382	159	2,882,65
CONDER	5	41,223	9	147,258	6	37,668	6	32,268	98	1,285,29
COOK	2	37,085	3	54,745	11	110,716	7	88,418	60	809,02
Coombs	43	307,983	176	417,443	35	231,273	39	414,619	560	2,850,71
CRACE	20	205,946	14	193,103	17	164,506	20	348,747	178	2,322,13
CURTIN	9	217,313		245,870	7	94,515	19	311,935	124	2,502,74
DEAKIN	4	151,200		196,838	11	199,995	11	275,215	91	2,425,53
DICKSON	3	7,998	6	125,189	. 14	219,380	15	175,739	80	1,011,84
DOWNER DUFFY	5	69,628	14	317,825		191,049	8 	177,146	88	1,421,55
DUNLOP	13	26,020 140,822	11 21	110,918 174,172	17	97,568 141,950	9 16	198,207 215,572	68 155	. 1,066,89 1,658,77
EVATT	2	22,491	13	1/4,1/2	1/	47,240	16	78,885	85	1,658,77 944,31
Fadden	1	13,310	6	119,888	6	60,395	4	97,275	53	1.039.27
FARRER	3	13,935	11	128,885	4	79,953	5	41,848	61	984,51
FISHER	6	41,013	7	94,335	8	95,960	14	151,886	70	915,44
FLOREY	5	47,979	10	133,738	6	75,075	9	70,605	78	799,31
FLYNN	6	46,120	7	63,312	• 4	32,737	7	82,459	60	667,96
FORDE	6	86,846	14	267,025	8	152,691	10	151,895	119	2,007,58
FORREST	2	109,745	4	42,606	4	168,063	6	200,390	53	2,656,47
FRANKLIN	26	191,934	46	363,886	34	264,230	48	298,019	364	3,064,99
FRASER	4	11,650	3	49,025	2	18,105	4	37,895	31	365,92
GARRAN	9	158,440	4	97,215	5	107,735	4	108,690	73	1,801,84
GILMORE GIRALANG	2	22.250	2	31,545 33,118	4	44,218		20	36	426,54
GORDON	18	22,350 195,741	4	122,109	17	22,800	5	92,520 224,866	42	558,55 1,610,27
GOWRIE	1	155,742	10	147,380	7	74,608	5	73,085	58	681,77
GREENWAY	15	75,122	18	90,243	11	81,037	23	110,196	114	894,97
GRIFFITH	4	72,698	18	422,595	23	479,609	24	572,472	145	3,484,62
GUNGAHLIN	. 7	69,923	14	184,991	14	224,785	16	255,239	112	1,648,19
HACKETT	5	72,725	10	137,499	13	268,535	6	141,300	76	1,353,68
HALL			1	48,050	1	55,050			4	134,99
HARRISON	29	324,484	31	265,728	44	314,105	44	329,069	353	3,082,56
HAWKER	3	47,320	9	132,535	10	155,835	6	60,065	70	1,157,66
HIGGINS	5	19,620	6	37,266	5	47,832	8	96,914	59	549,05
HOLDER	2	19,050	4	48,370	2	11,235	7	90,307	51	668,98
Holt HUGHES	8	54,534 138,615	8	51,098 51,195	12 5	101,397	10	71,289	126 55	921,20
ISAACS	2	4,875	3	26,820	2	184,170 34,675	6	111,725 38,895	45	1,349,22 722,72
ISABELLA	7	96,820	5	31,329	5	49,007	10	89,916	71	800,49
Jacka	3	60	3	41,920	5	100	7	8,243	46	122,86
KALEEN	10	130,889	13	158,884	12	162,257	12	165,524	128	1,741,20
Kambah	14	109,387	23	260,366	29	348,590	32	410,500	262	2,979,91
KINGSTON	32	504,912	43	780,370	33	. 683,868	56	1,207,853	402	7,893,40
Latham	6	38,353	5	37,433	5	36,600	9	111,113	58	584,89
LAWSON	. 9	305,103	76	496,856	53	401,184	24	515,413	240	2,526,51
Lyneham	10	108,776	8	161,348	13	153,559	19	230,460	109	1,470,53
LYONS	6	151,765	8	87,863	6	81,366	5	96,943	72	1,135,50
MACARTHUR	1	-	6	82,450			5	78,210	27	400,23
MACGREGOR	12	108,686	15	168,010	14	176,430	18	194,735	146	1,599,44
MACQUARIE	4	44,088 39,033	9 1	144,141 15,759	<u>6</u> 11	78,672		115,527	68	695,55
MAWSON MCKELLAR	3	47,076	6	83,480	5	265,113 84,500	9	154,000 8,165	89 36	1,394,29 584,97
MELBA	· 5	13,610	5	51,497	3	50,055	11	168,853	60	750,06
MONASH -	7	. 74,273	8	125,700	11	130,436	10	123,219	86	1,162,63
MONCRIEFF	122	367,933	15	110,305	16	44,202	7	29,975	270	952,09
NARRABUNDAH	7	110,840	6	168,500	13	229,742	11	213,114	. 122	2,251,11
NGUNNAWAL	35	265,359	46	429,227	34	334,407	75	493,780	459	3,434,43
NICHOLLS	7	72,833	20	363,210	9	191,638	14	273,868	126	2,676,25
OAKS ESTATE	1	20	2	24,255					4	131,11
O'CONNOR	5	30,432	13	250,850	19	392,416	18	438,675	158	3,121,29
O'MALLEY	1	45,250	3	291,738	1	11,961	1	35,250	15	762,16
Oxley	7	52,814	5	60,283 E4 757		24,175	2	13,725	30	279,57
PAGE	12	130,940	7	54,757	5 8	56,476	10	57,120	93	771,10
PALMERSTON PEARCE	11 6	74,866	9	90,798 242,040	7	109,178 135,305	11	93,183 156,010	110 72	1,295,75
PHILLIP	10	75,284	30	242,040	20	127,580	32	254,085	325	1,077,89
RED HILL	. 6	156,184	30	528,375	7	272,345	34	186,850	525	2,695,76
REID	1	20	3	132,718	4	51,107	8	133,943	76	1,187,41
RICHARDSON	1	8,164	6	56,000	8	99,902	6	55,439	· 49	501,97
RIVETT	5	60,445	11	171,670	7	101,550	9	117,810	68	861,13
SCULLIN	3	35,448	7	74,579	5	45,490	8	60,575	76	701,73
SPENCE	1	13,103	3	28,559	6	45,915	4	46,600	43	448,37
STIRLING	2	17,698	4	44,020	4	57,883	3	34,393	38	589,48
SYMONSTON				·		-	1	84,000	2	84,02
THARWA			<u> </u>	401.00					2	23,00
THEODORE	9	104,879	9	124,304	11	138,580	12	132,591	74	860,41
TORRENS	6	94,066	4	57,849	3	65,145	3	49,020	37	638,90
TURNER	12	203,946	15	224,600	13	175,291	18	249,017	127	2,228,70
Uriarra Village	5	35.362		217,815		20 202	19	22,675	4	73,76
WANNIASSA WARAMANGA	6	35,298	20	217,815 119,286	6	88,203	18	237,007	139	1,492,62
WARAMANGA WATSON	9	31,255 163,835	9 17	119,286 149,597	<u> </u>	86,470 248,509	7 	<u>81,222</u> 407 115	49 138	589,3 1,952,24
WEETANGERA	9	27,860	<u>1/</u> 6	149,597 107,805	14		24	407,115	138 49	
WEETANGERA	3	35,743	11	107,805	10	35,500	6	151,525 140,788	49 68	837,95
Wright	24	231,993	46	407,720	47	370,385	54	322,704	68 359	1,054,71 2,708,43
YARRALUMLA	3	54,090	40	208,230	11	428,330	54	59,040	79	2,919,27
	. 3		5	200,230	. 1,184	428,530	1,370	39,040	13	17,616,7

		YTD 20	14-1	5	旅游	2013	-14	
Suburb	Sing	le Residential	R	esidential Unit		Single Residential	F	Residential Unit
		AUV		AUV	運輸	AUV	と	AUV
Ainslie	<u> </u>	563,797	\$	338,928	\$	555,677	\$	318,248
Amaroo	\$	257,622	\$	173,067	\$	274,079	\$	152,874
Aranda	\$	505,188	\$	205,806	\$	475,400	\$	187,687
Banks	\$	274,094	\$	181,390	\$	287,864	\$	156,325
Barton	\$	947,500	\$	93,060	\$	1,033,000	\$	148,072
Belconnen	\$	721,375	\$	36,828	\$	277,455	\$	42,100
Bonner	\$	203,120	\$	112,571	\$	216,159	\$	101,595
Bonython	\$	291,786	\$	127,578	\$	271,000	\$	137,628
Braddon	\$	621,714	\$	88,416	\$	644,250	\$	100,273
Bruce	\$	599,304	\$	94,251	\$	376,125	\$	72,838
Calwell	\$	291,531	\$	121,868	\$	285,062	\$	119,414
Campbell	\$	615,841	\$	271,975	\$	654,783	\$	198,472
Çasey	\$	211,033	\$	97,411	\$	241,290	\$	70,883
Chapman	\$	468,981		H	\$	476,475		
Charnwood	\$	236,022	\$	98,087	\$	238,488	\$	111,053
Chifley	\$	416,436	\$	189,887	\$	432,607	\$	193,494
Chisholm	\$	295,403	\$	153,120	\$	299,985	\$	152,212
City		-	\$	54,704		_		68,436
Conder	\$	270,387	\$	143,886	\$	282,671	\$ \$	131,818
Cook	\$	419,067	\$	171,357	\$	406,743	\$	173,513
Coombs	\$	306,000		-		-		· _
Coree	\$	230,333		_	\$	193,250		
Crace	\$	265,103	\$	46,278	\$	269,649		
Curtin	\$	494,632	\$	208,742	\$	490,587	\$	123,875
Deakin	\$	694,314	\$	149,579	\$	696,319	\$	196,451
Dickson	\$	439,828	\$	95,308	\$	449,500	\$	99,339
Downer	\$	452,353	\$	165,440	\$	440,692	\$	171,999
Duffy	\$	367,842	\$	123,239	\$	363,319	\$	142,245
Dunlop	\$	235,897	\$	· 103,194	\$	240,000	\$	125,274
Evatt	\$	233,837	\$	95,541	\$	290,658	Ļ	123,274
Fadden	\$	334,133	<u>ب</u> \$	193,005	\$	353,800	\$	174,677
	\$	457,554	\$	170,031	\$	462,423	ې \$	197,618
Farrer	<u>ې</u> \$	·	ې \$		ې \$		\$ \$	
Fisher		371,090		121,087		372,625		107,679
Florey	\$	292,886	\$	144,116	\$	315,170	\$	146,193
Flynn	\$	284,613	\$	129,880	\$	294,182	~	
Forde	\$	244,557	\$	122,868	\$	250,373	\$	117,765
Forrest	\$	1,391,316	\$	280,042	\$	1,506,909	\$	254,150
Franklin	\$	244,075	\$	40,694	\$	238,392	\$	46,915
Fraser	\$	284,067	\$	150,040	\$	297,682	\$	169,660
Garran	\$	606,714	\$	183,200	\$	620,800	\$	174,695
Gilmore	\$	300,774		-	\$	296,093	\$	149,375
Giralang	\$	316,583	\$	184,539	\$	300,839	\$	173,593
Gordon	\$	269,330	\$	113,330	\$	277,552	\$	120,870
Gowrie	\$	284,814	\$	160,860	\$	278,556	\$	151,668
Greenway	\$	251,250	\$	120,944	\$. 235,000	\$	108,037
Griffith	\$	810,838	\$	162,821	\$	823,568	\$	131,171
Gungahlin	\$	273,219	\$	89,949	\$	258,469	\$	92,098
Hackett	\$	471,277	\$	170,848	\$	453,243	\$	128,475
Hall	\$	545,500	[,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	\$	607,250		
Harrison	\$	257,160	\$	47,580	\$	259,152	\$	34,141
Hawker	\$	485,618	\$	167,332	\$	521,565	\$	156,968

		YTD 20	14-1	5		2013	-14	
Suburb	Sing	le Residential AUV	R	esidential Unit AUV		iingle Residential AUV	R	esidential Unit AUV
Higgins	\$	310,977	\$	160,440	\$	315,959	\$	160,440
Holder	\$	350,510	\$	164,076	\$	345,029	\$	174,015
Holt	\$	259,878	\$	95,389	\$	262,517	\$	95,330
Hughes	\$	527,674	\$	203,509	\$	513,645	\$	· 203,143
lsaacs	\$	441,174	\$	232,360	\$	434,273	\$	199,027
Isabella Plains	\$	282,295	\$	124,730	\$	264,500	\$	111,190
Jacka	\$	219,167	\$	72,459	\$	215,278		-
Kaleen	\$	335,419	\$	125,673	\$	324,337	\$	167,321
Kambah	\$	298,570	\$	141,997	\$	294,458	\$	125,094
Kingston	\$	594,857	\$	97,342	\$	618,769	\$	111,499
Latham	\$	283,018	\$	185,000	\$	273,447	\$	127,723
Lawson	\$	453,851		_		-		-
Lyneham	\$	470,500	\$	87,072	\$	432,703	\$	108,402
Lyons	\$	428,957	\$	126,294	\$	443,550	\$	122,176
Macarthur	\$	335,591	<u> </u>	-	\$	331,294	\$	140,750
Macgregor	\$	228,481	\$	115,530	\$	214,078	\$	108,815
Macquarie	\$	396,893	\$	113,638	\$	397,500	\$	145,972
Mawson	\$	443,843	\$	171,860	\$	456,447	\$	152,039
McKellar	\$	316,161	\$	175,155	\$	333,478	\$	180,618
Melba	\$	310,101	\$ \$	170,752	\$	325,098	\$	143,917
Monash	\$	299,745	\$ \$	120,190	\$ \$	303,000	\$ \$	111,994
Narrabundah	\$	549,851	\$ \$	and a second	ې \$	528,403	ې \$	1
	\$ \$		ې \$	158,493	ې \$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ې \$	122,549
Ngunnawal Nicholls	\$ \$	225,490	ې \$	103,997	\$ \$	234,424		116,401
	\$ \$	329,951	ې \$	169,767	ې \$	319,713	\$	175,960
O`Connor		573,917		180,242		553,506	\$	146,934
O`Malley	\$	755,444	\$	363,448	\$	683,286	\$	387,456
Oaks Estate	\$	217,500	· ~	-	\$	219,000	\$	40,101
Oxley	\$ \$	314,375	\$	143,225	\$ \$	323,938	\$ \$	144,205
Page	<u> </u>	326,351	\$	137,641		335,333	, ,	148,644
Palmerston	\$	266,066	\$	176,457	\$	263,652	\$	174,555
Pearce	\$	450,925	\$	159,231	\$	478,938	\$	142,986
Phillip	\$	264,857	\$	57,273	\$	262,714	\$	135,546
Red Hill	\$	836,636	\$	239,172	\$	1,007,591	\$	304,754
Reid	\$	814,300	\$	82,078	\$	718,833	\$	161,326
Richardson	\$. 270,206	\$	123,537	\$	262,116	\$	153,225
Rivett	\$	332,984	\$	152,131	\$	327,426	\$	138,995
Scullin	\$	307,957	\$	160,523	\$	307,595	\$	136,640
Spence	\$	290,464	\$	183,696	\$	282,324	\$	168,000
Stirling	\$	357,667	\$	115,281	\$	336,167	\$	124,808
Tharwa	\$	197,000		<u></u>				-
Theodore	\$	265,152	\$	107,657	\$	269,232	\$	111,615
Torrens	\$	435,895	\$	198,077	\$	420,069	\$	179,634
Turner	\$	713,818	•\$	138,965	\$	728,000	\$	120,618
Wanniassa	\$	322,726	\$	147,654	\$	320,269	\$	143,778
Waramanga	\$	349,244	\$	143,101	\$	343,000	\$	149,399
Watson	\$	409,617	\$	103,581	\$	397,600	\$	64,715
Weetangera	\$	455,424	\$	218,347	\$	475,167	\$	205,049
Weston	\$	372,735	\$	150,999	\$	374,205	\$	152,163
Wright	\$	339,633	\$	41,115	\$	343,643		-
Yarralumla	\$	787,227	\$	292,496	\$	787,829	\$	344,186

כ

*Estimates - QTON No. E15-22

SUB GISI AL

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY FOR THE AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY

SELECT COMMITTEE ON ESTIMATES 2015-16

MR BRENDAN SMYTH MLA (CHAIR), MS MEEGAN FITZHARRIS MLA (DEPUTY CHAIR), DR CHRIS BOURKE MLA, MS NICOLE LAWDER MLA

ANSWER TO QUESTION TAKEN ON NOTICE DURING PUBLIC HEARINGS

RECLIVED
2 6 JUN 2015
ACT LA PORT
TEE SUPI

Asked by Ms Meegan Fitzharris on 18 June 2015: Mr Mick Gentleman took on notice the following question(s):

[Ref: Hansard Transcript 18 June 2015 [PAGE #7 & 25 (DRAFT)]]

In relation to: Child and Youth Protection Service - Output Class 4.1

Full reconciliation of Care and Protection Services in relation to Output Class 4.1 Child and Youth Protection Service

Mr Mick Gentleman: The answer to the Member's question is as follows:-

The 2014-15 Budget Statements showed the GPO budget for Output 4.1 in 2014-15 to be \$80,652,000 and the estimated budget for 2015-16 to be \$69,510,000. This drop of \$11,142,000 is the result of technical adjustments to indexation and savings, and initiatives that would cease at the end of the 2014-15 financial year as detailed in the table below.

Output 4.1 Reconciliation	
2014-15 Budget (as per 2014-15 Budget Papers)	80,652
2015-16 Budget (as per 2014-15 Budget Papers)	69,510
Variance from 2014-15 to 2015-16	-11,142
Explanation of Variances	
Indexation, Community Sector Indexation & Equal Remuneration Case	2,212
Savings	-380
Out of Home Care Strategy - one year funding	-4,100
Out of Home Care Program - Additional demand	-6,626
Strengthening Care and Protection Services	-150
Fourth Unit at Bimberi Youth Justice Centre	-989
Single Case Management	-800
Capacity Identification Process	-300
Minor Adjustments	-9
Total explained Variances	-11,142

In the 2015-16 Budget Statements the GPO budget for Output 4.1 in 2015-16 would be \$81,356,000. The increase from the estimated budget of \$69,510,000 is the result of technical adjustments to indexation and savings and the funding of additional initiatives valued at \$11,846,000. These changes are detailed in the table below. The reduction in funds from ceasing initiatives in 2014-15, has been offset by new initiatives in the 2015-16 years showing an increase in GPO of \$704,000 across the two budget years.

Output 4.1 Reconciliation	
2015-16 Budget (as per 2014-15 Budget Papers)	69,510
2015-16 Budget (as per 2015-16 Budget Papers)	81,356
Variance from 2014-15 to 2015-16	11,846
Explanation of Variances	
Indexation, Community Sector Indexation & Equal Remuneration Case	-436
Savings	-595
Out of Home Care Program - additional demand recurrent funding	5,492
A Step Up for our Kids	6,495
Bendora Throughcare Unit	600
Client Management System for Child and Youth Protection Services	283
Minor Adjustments	7
Total explained Variances	11,846

Importantly, the \$16 million investment for 'A Step Up for our Kids' is distributed over the next three budget years, being 2015-16 \$6,495,000, 2016-17 \$6,260,000 and 2017-18 \$3,044,000.

Approved for circulation to the Select Committee on Estimates 2015-16

Signature:

MA

Date: 26/6/15

By the Minister for Children and Young People, Mick Gentleman MLA

*Estimates - QTON No. E15-023

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY FOR THE AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY

SELECT COMMITTEE ON ESTIMATES 2015-16

MR BRENDAN SMYTH MLA (CHAIR), MS MEEGAN FITZHARRIS MLA (DEPUTY CHAIR), DR CHRIS BOURKE MLA, MS NICOLE LAWDER MLA

ANSWER TO QUESTION TAKEN ON NOTICE DURING PUBLIC HEARINGS

Asked by Mr Brendan Smyth on 18 June 2015: Ms Natalie Howson took on notice the following question:

[Ref: Hansard Transcript 18 June 2015 [PAGE #17-19 (DRAFT)]]

In relation to: Better Services Pilot Results

When will the results of the Better Services Pilot be available?

Mr Mick Gentleman: The answer to the Member's question is as follows:-

2 G JUN 2015

The West Belconnen Local Services Network (LSN) is being piloted to improve how local services work better together to achieve community needs. It is doing this through a locally based systems design approach with a focus on innovative interventions. The LSN is being independently evaluated with the final results to be reported in mid 2016. This evaluation will inform improvements to the LSN and its expansion to other areas in the ACT.

Approved for circulation to the Select Committee on Estimates 2015-16

Signature:

Date: 26/6/14

By the Minister for Children and Young People, Mick Gentleman MLA

1.



SELECT COMMITTEE ON ESTIMATES 2015-16 MR Brendan Smyth MLA (Chair), MS Meegan Fitzharris MLA (Deputy Chair), Dr Chris Bourke MLA, MS Nicole Lawder MLA

ANSWER TO QUESTION TAKEN ON NOTICE DURING PUBLIC HEARINGS

Asked by Mr Steve Doszpot on 18 June 2015: Mr Mick Gentleman took on notice the following question(s):

[Ref: Hansard Transcript 18 June 2015 [PAGE #45 (DRAFT)]]

In relation to: Community Transport Buses

What are the Community Transport Buses hours of operation?



Minister Gentleman: The answer to the Member's question is as follows:-

There are two Community Transport Services provided by the ACT Government.

The Community Services Directorate, through the ACT's Regional Community Services, operates a flexible Regional Community Bus Service for ACT residents who are socially isolated due to a lack of transport options. The buses operate from **Monday to Friday** and can be accessed via a booking system, with pick up and set down locations and times negotiable.

TAMS also operates a 'Flexible Bus Service'. The Flexible Bus Service is available to Canberra residents who have limited access to public transport options. It is a basic bus service which is localised to particular zones and operates from **Monday-Friday 9.30-1.45pm**, with set pick up and set down locations.

Approved for circulation to the Select Committee on Estimates 2015-16

Signature.

Date: 26/6/15-

*Estimates - QTON No. E15-25

S S E M BUT

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY FOR THE AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY

SELECT COMMITTEE ON ESTIMATES 2015-16 MR Brendan Smyth MLA (Chair), MS Meegan Fitzharris MLA (Deputy Chair), Dr Chris Bourke MLA, MS Nicole Lawder MLA

ANSWER TO QUESTION TAKEN ON NOTICE DURING PUBLIC HEARINGS

Asked by Mr Steve Doszpot on 18 June 2015: Mr Mick Gentleman took on notice the following question(s):

[Ref: Hansard Transcript 18 June 2015 [PAGE #45 (DRAFT)]]

In relation to: Community Transport Buses

How many people access the Community Transport Buses?



Minister Gentleman: The answer to the Member's question is as follows:-

Under the current Community Services Directorate (CSD) Service Funding Agreements, funded agencies are not required to report on the number of service users accessing community transport.

Approved for circulation to the Select Committee on Estimates 2015-16

Signature:

Date: 26/6/15

*Estimates - QTON No. E15-26

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY FOR THE AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY



SELECT COMMITTEE ON ESTIMATES 2015-16

MR BRENDAN SMYTH MLA (CHAIR), MS MEEGAN FITZHARRIS MLA (DEPUTY CHAIR), DR CHRIS BOURKE MLA, MS NICOLE LAWDER MLA

ANSWER TO QUESTION TAKEN ON NOTICE DURING PUBLIC HEARINGS

Asked by Mr Steve Doszpot MLA on 18 June 2015: Mr Mick Gentleman took on notice the following question(s):

[Ref: Hansard Transcript 18 June 2015 [PAGE 49]

In relation to: Bulky Waste Collection Service

Does the Bulky Waste Collection Service include Garden Waste?

Mick Gentleman MLA: The answer to the Member's question is as follows:-

The Bulky Waste Collection Services does not include the collection of garden waste.



Approved for circulation to the Select Committee on Estimates 2015-16

Signature:

Date: 26 June 2015



SELECT COMMITTEE ON ESTIMATES 2015-16

MR BRENDAN SMYTH MLA (CHAIR), MS MEEGAN FITZHARRIS MLA (DEPUTY CHAIR), DR CHRIS BOURKE MLA, MS NICOLE LAWDER MLA

ANSWER TO QUESTION TAKEN ON NOTICE DURING PUBLIC HEARINGS

Asked by Mr Steve Doszpot on 18 June 2015: Mr Mick Gentleman took on notice the following question(s):

[Ref: Hansard Transcript 18 June 2015 [PAGE #50 (DRAFT)]]

In relation to: Community Capacity Building Projects

How many of the 15 Community Capacity Building Projects are ageing related?



[NAME OF MINISTER] : The answer to the Member's question is as follows:-

A community capacity building project assists community organisations to strengthen their capacity, sustainability and address disadvantage in the community.

A total of 14 community capacity building projects are funded under the 2014-15 Participation (Seniors') Grants Program and an additional nine are funded under the 2014-15 Participation (Men's Shed) Grants Program.

All of these are ageing related.

Approved for circulation to the Select Committee on Estimates 2015-16

Signature:

Date: 24/6/15-

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY



FOR THE AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY

SELECT COMMITTEE ON ESTIMATES 2015-16

MR BRENDAN SMYTH MLA (CHAIR), MS MEEGAN FITZHARRIS MLA (DEPUTY CHAIR), DR CHRIS BOURKE MLA, MS NICOLE LAWDER MLA

ANSWER TO QUESTION TAKEN ON NOTICE DURING PUBLIC HEARINGS

4	ECEIVED	
	- 1 JUL 2015	
COM	ACT LA	
owing	TTEE SUPPLY	

Asked by Ms Meegan Fitzharris on 18 June 2015: Ms Yvette Berry took on notice the following question(s):

[Ref: Hansard Transcript 18 June 2015 [PAGE #55 (DRAFT)]]

In relation to: Gungahlin Child and Family Centre. Is there a connection between the Gungahlin Child and Family Centre and the specific program for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander kids at the Ngunnawal School?

Ms Yvette Berry : The answer to the Member's question is as follows:

The Gungahlin Child and Family Centre and Ngunnawal Primary School, including Preschool, have worked together to provide programs for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children. These programs include:

- a) Photography courses
- b) Music courses
- c) Footprints Koori Playgroup
- d) Ngunnawal Homework Club

proved for circulation to the Select Committee on Estimates 2015-16
gnature: Date: 30 06 2015
gnature: Date: 50 06 2013
the Minister for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Affairs, Yvette Berry MLA

MS FITZHARRIS: With the Gungahlin child and family centre in particular I understand—and I do not know if you can answer this, but I understand at the Ngunnawal school there are specific programs for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander kids in the school there, is that right? And do you know? And is there a connection between the work that will happen and the child and family centre and what might happen at a local school specific program?

Ms Howson: Yes, I would need to take that question on notice as it is specific, but my understanding is as yours that there is some very good connections between our child and family centres and that school and the education directorate itself also have invested some significant effort into specific culturally appropriate programs for that school.

*Estimates - QTON No. E15-29

TASE MBU

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY FOR THE AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY

SELECT COMMITTEE ON ESTIMATES 2015-16

MR BRENDAN SMYTH MLA (CHAIR), MS MEEGAN FITZHARRIS MLA (DEPUTY CHAIR), DR CHRIS BOURKE MLA, MS NICOLE LAWDER MLA

ANSWER TO QUESTION TAKEN ON NOTICE DURING PUBLIC HEARINGS

ECEIVED
- 1 JUL 2015
ACT LA POR

Asked by Dr Chris Bourke on 18 June 2015: Ms Natalie Howson took on notice the following question(s):

[Ref: Hansard Transcript 18 June 2015 [PAGE #55 (DRAFT)]]

In relation to: National Domestic Violence Awareness and Prevention Campaign

Can you provide further information about the National Campaign, about the timetable, the nature, the intensity?

Ms Yvette Berry : The answer to the Member's question is as follows:-

- On 17 April 2015, COAG agreed to take urgent collective action this calendar year to address the unacceptable level of violence against women. This included a jointly funded \$30 million for a national campaign to reduce violence against women and their children.
- The Commonwealth Office for Women undertook a desktop research report to inform the direction and focus of the national campaign.
- This research indicated that an awareness campaign was not a useful approach and the campaign would be targeted at attitude change rather than general awareness raising.
- o A steering committee has been established to guide development of the campaign.
- At the first teleconference of the steering committee the Commonwealth advised that the campaign would be targeted at young men aged 10-17 years of age.
- The Committee agreed in principle but proposed that the campaign include the people and communities that work with and support young men, such as schools and families.
- The timetable for the campaign is still being determined with early suggestions the campaign will commence in December 2015.

Approved for	circulation to the Select Committee on Est	imates 2015-16	
Signature:		Date: 25 JUNE 2015	

By the Minister for Women, Yvette Berry MLA

DR BOURKE: And the budget papers also mention a commitment of about \$250,000 to the Jointly Funded National Domestic Violence Awareness and Prevention Campaign. Do you have more information that you can share with us about that national campaign, about the timetable, the nature, the intensity?

Ms Berry: The national campaign?

DR BOURKE: Yes.

Ms Berry: Not really a lot of detail at the moment, other than just that the ACT government has agreed to be part of that campaign, and that will fund—or, you know, contribute our share to—as far as funding that campaign nationally, but it is a—it is an awareness campaign, more than anything, but we are going to talk more, a bit more about that at future COAG meetings and through the development of the prevention of violence against women and children strategy as well.

Is anyone able to elaborate a bit more?

Ms Howson: The minister is correct, in that further discussions with commonwealth on exactly what that campaign will be designed to do, how it will be executed, will be a subject for COAG, and I understand I think the next meeting coming up for that will be by the end of this month or in July, but I would have to take that on notice, Dr Bourke—

DR BOURKE: Thank you.



SELECT COMMITTEE ON ESTIMATES 2015-16

MR BRENDAN SMYTH MLA (CHAIR), MS MEEGAN FITZHARRIS MLA (DEPUTY CHAIR), DR CHRIS BOURKE MLA, MS NICOLE LAWDER MLA

ANSWER TO QUESTION TAKEN ON NOTICE DURING PUBLIC HEARINGS

4	ECEIVED	
(- 1 JUL 2015	
following	ACT LA DAT	

Asked by Mr Steve Doszpot on 18 June 2015: Ms Natalie Howson took on notice the following question(s):

[Ref: Hansard Transcript 18 June 2015 [PAGE #75-78 (DRAFT)]]

In relation to: Welcoming Refugees into Canberra

How many people did Canberra welcome, help look after, who are classified as refugees per annum over the last three years?

Ms Yvette Berry : The answer to the Member's question is as follows:-

The Commonwealth Department of Social Service has provided the following information.

In 2014-2015, Canberra welcomed 142 people classified as refugees, that held a 200, 201, 202, 204 and 866 visa, so far. Specifically, this is the number of entrants that have been referred to the Humanitarian Settlement Services (HSS) ACT service provider for settlement in the ACT.

Using the same criteria, in 2013-2014 Canberra welcomed 157 refugees, and in 2012-2013 we welcomed 175.

DR BOURKE: And the budget papers also mention a commitment of about \$250,000 to the Jointly **MR DOSZPOT**: How many refugees have we accepted per annum? So over the last three years but per annum how many have we received.

Ms Berry: Each year, yes.

Mr Manikis: So this is the humanitarian entry.

Ms Berry: Yes, but you just need to remember that they do not all stay here. They often move to other cities.

MR DOSZPOT: No, that is fine. I am asking how many were accepted.

Ms Berry: We hope they stay because Canberra is great and we welcome them here.

THE CHAIR: Mr Manikis, that is off visa, humanitarian visa? Is that how you track it?

Mr Manikis: Well, when we talk about refugees, we are talking about humanitarian entrants. We are talking about what information, is it the ones that come formal? The people that come here under the humanitarian entrance—

MR DOSZPOT: I am asking well, how many did we welcome each year whether they were all refugees so whichever category they were in

Mr Manikis: Asylum seekers?

MR DOSZPOT: Yes. It is not a difficult question.

THE CHAIR: Sorry just before you go you said we signed up to an initiative, whose initiative is it to become a refugee welcome zone?

Ms Berry: The ACT government and as minister for Multicultural Affairs-

THE CHAIR: Whose initiative was it that the other hundred groups have signed up to?

Ms Berry: It is the Refugee Council of Australia.

MS FITZHARRIS: Can I just clarify, in a sense we do not— does the ACT government accept refugees? We do not accept them that sense it is— yes.

MR DOSZPOT: Well for settlement purposes I am talking about once they come to Canberra, I am not saying you are granting them asylum

MS FITZHARRIS: No, but I am just trying to understand if there is a refugee who is in Australia does the ACT government play any role into accepting them into Canberra. They choose to move here? We welcome them here obviously.

Mr Manikis: The ACT government plays a role in having input into when the commonwealth government constructs a humanitarian program the ACT government gets an opportunity to have a say about how large or small that program would be.

After that a refugee in say Africa is processed by the immigration department. They put a destination of where they would like to go, and this is part of the difficulty is about secondary migration as well.

So if it is Sydney and then two days later they come down the highway and they land in Canberra we do not play any role in accepting or any formal role in accepting what we do is we welcome them, we help to settle them through some of our support and our service providers we embrace them and we hope to have them a successful part of our community life.

MR DOSZPOT: And that is a question I have asked at the outset so if you could have that on notice that would be fine.

Ms Sheehan: So I think Mr Doszpot we could provide you with the details from the commonwealth figures on who has come through the humanitarian program and for other purposes such as coming out of detention centres and we can also provide you with commonwealth figures on asylum seekers.

MR DOSZPOT: Okay but all we are asking for, a very simple question, how many people did Canberra welcome, help look after, who are classified as refugees? That is all I am asking.

Ms Howson: We will take that question on notice and we will be very clear about the definition of the data that we are providing.



SELECT COMMITTEE ON ESTIMATES 2015-16

MR BRENDAN SMYTH MLA (CHAIR), MS MEEGAN FITZHARRIS MLA (DEPUTY CHAIR), DR CHRIS BOURKE MLA, MS NICOLE LAWDER MLA

ANSWER TO QUESTION TAKEN ON NOTICE DURING PUBLIC HEARINGS

RECEIVED
(- 1 JUL 2015)
ACT LA PO
e following TEE SUPPO

Asked by Mrs Nicole Lawder on 18 June 2015: Ms Maureen Sheehan took on notice the following TEE question(s):

[Ref: Hansard Transcript 18 June 2015 [PAGE #79 (DRAFT)]]

In relation to: Women's Grant Funding

Can you please provide a list of and include how much is allocated to Women's Grants?

Ms Yvette Berry : The answer to the Member's question is as follows:-

See <u>Attachment A</u>.

Approved for circulation to the Select Committee on Estimates 2015-16

Signature:

Date: 30/06/2015

By the Minister for Women, Yvette Berry MLA

	Attachment A
2014-15 ACT Women's Grant Recipient	
Organisation	Project
Alcohol Tobacco and Other Drug Association ACT	Enhancing support for women affected by drug and alcohol use and domestic and family violence project.
\$25,000	The project will uncover the paradigm differences underlying alcohol and drug treatment provision and services responding to domestic and/or family violence for women, and identify barriers inhibiting cooperation between providers. The recommendations will propose ways to improve the compatibility of models of care and link services within and between sectors to better meet the needs of affected women and reduce the harms they currently experience.
Beryl Women Incorporated	Beryl Women History Project, a book documenting the refuge's history and capturing statistics about domestic violence in the ACT
\$12,000	The book will record interviews with previous clients, staff and stakeholders as well as other leading figures and women's organisations in the ACT. It will explore Beryl's archived documents as well as reports, articles and statistics about domestic violence over each 5 year period since the shelter was established. It will provide a vital snapshot in the women's sector in the ACT that will shed light on the ongoing importance of work of Beryl Women Inc, domestic violence shelters, the elimination of violence against women and the advancement of women's rights.
PhotoAccess	Digital Storytelling by Muslim Women in Canberra project, in which Muslim women will make short films about personal stories
\$19,000	Muslim women will make a short film about a personal story, and gain skills in storytelling, photography and filmmaking. They will work with an experienced team of artists (an Artistic Director, a Photographer and a Filmmaker) through a process that is professional, respectful and culturally appropriate. The digital stories will be finished to a professional standard and screened at a launch at Ainslie and Gorman Arts Centre Ralph Wilson Theatre, co-hosted by the Canberra Multicultural Community Forum (CMCF) and PhotoAccess.
Women's Centre for Health Matter	s Supporting GPs and community health workers to identify signs of domestic violence local resource.
\$12,000	The project aims to develop a local resource to improve the awareness of local GP practices and community health workers by providing local relevant ACT information to assist them to identify signs of domestic violence, and to know how to have the right conversations with women and children experiencing violence and what assistance they can offer them.

Women's Legal Centre (ACT and Reg Women's Family Law Clinic for women from culturally and linguistically					
424 000	diverse backgrounds.				
\$24,000	The project is a specialist Women's Family Law Clinic for Cultural and Linguistically Diverse (CALD) women. The Clinic would utilise the voluntary services of private family law solicitors, supervised and coordinated by a specialist in-house solicitor. The aim of the project would be to provide advice, representation and support to culturally and linguistically diverse ('CALD') women in relation to divorce matters				
Women's Legal Centre (ACT and Reg	Girls Gotta Know App, which gives young women aged 14-24 quick access				
\$3,000	to essential legal information on topics ranging from housing to partying				
	'Girls Gotta Know' is a free app created to provide young women between the ages of 14 and 24, quick access to essential legal information on a range of practical topics. The app provides easy to understand legal information on housing, employment, partying, relationships, money and general topics. The Women's Legal Centre (ACT & Region) ('the Centre) contributed to the ACT information in the national app and seeks to undertake community legal education activities across Canberra in high schools, colleges and universities.				
YWCA Canberra \$10,000	She Leads College Conference 2015, a leadership conference for up to 200 young women from colleges across the ACT She Leads is an innovative and evidence-based women's leadership program comprised of a year-long Diploma of Management, and a one-day conference. Due to popular demand and feedback from attendees from the school sector, YWCA Canberra is now looking to expand this work to include a She				
	Leads College Conference in 2015 for young women in years 11 and 12. The Conference will host up to 200 young women from colleges across the ACT, and would take place in the first quarter of 2015.				
YWCA Canberra	Relationship Things Online project, an interactive digital portal and				
\$10,000	mobile app which aims to prevent sexual assault and violence. The Relationship Things community resource aims to prevent sexual assault and gender-based violence by equipping young people with the tools and knowledge to develop and maintain safe and respectful relationships. This project extends the reach of the hard copy Relationship Things resources by developing an interactive digital portal and mobile application. Relationship Things Online aims to increase the number of young people receiving this vital information, particularly those who are at-risk or who have disengaged from formal education. It will also act as a go-to reference for the graduates of the face-to-face program.				

*Estimates - QTON No. E15-32



LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY FOR THE AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY

SELECT COMMITTEE ON ESTIMATES 2015-16 MR BRENDAN SMYTH MLA (CHAIR), MS MEEGAN FITZHARRIS MLA (DEPUTY CHAIR), DR CHRIS BOURKE MLA, MS NICOLE LAWDER MLA

ANSWER TO QUESTION TAKEN ON NOTICE DURING PUBLIC HEARINGS

Asked by Ms Meegan Fitzharris on 18 June 2015: Ms Sue Chapman took on notice the following question(s):

[Ref: Hansard Transcript 18 June 2015 [PAGE #532 (DRAFT)]]

In relation to: The Community Sector Reform Program

Empirical evidence of the benefit derived from the Community Sector Reform Program and a reconciliation of the money spent and its use?

Ms Yvette Berry: The answer to the Member's question is as follows:-

The benefit from July 2012 to June 2015 from the community sector reform program has been assessed at approximately \$3,298,000. The remaining funds that have not been spent on initiatives and will be rolled over into 2015-2016 are \$157,212.

Attached is a reconciliation of the total funds expended by the Community Sector Reform Program between 2012-2013 and 2014-2015 and a benefits realisation table for initiatives, and the savings generated for the Community Sector.

Approved for circulation to the Select Committee on Estimates 2015-16					
	Date: 61/07/2015				
Signature.	Date: 0101/2015				
	1 1				
By the Minister for Community Services Ms Yvette Berry MLA					

Initiative	Projected Full Annual Benefit	Actual Benefit 2013-2014	Actual Benefit 2014-2015	Projected Benefit 2015-2016	Projected Benefit 2016-2017
Concordance management of compliance standards	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$230,000	\$460,000
Change to Associations Incorporation Act 1991 Regs (Top threshold)	\$500,000	\$400,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000
Change to Associations Incorporation Act 1991 Regs (Bottom Threshold)	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000
ACNC Harmonisation (Costs avoided)	\$336,772	\$0	\$0	\$336,772	\$336,772
Reduction in Reporting Costs Savings - Transfer to Grant Arrangements	\$376,009	\$0	\$188,004	\$376,009	\$376,009
Reduction in Reporting Costs Savings - Shift to Outcomes	\$150,000	\$20,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000
Change to annual financial reporting requirement	\$185,000	\$80,000	\$159,000	\$185,000	\$185,000
Changes to Prequalification based on review	\$370,000	\$0	\$185,000	\$185,000	\$0
Single Relationship manager System	\$101,000	\$0	\$101,000	\$101,000	\$101,000
Introduction of recurrent grants	\$670,000	\$0	\$335,000	\$670,000	\$670,000
Extension of SFA term + Option	\$750,000	\$0	\$0	\$750,000	\$750,000
GAFMI Benefit	\$690,000	\$490,000	\$200,000	\$0	\$0
Total Annual Benefit	\$4,198,781	\$800,000	\$1,808,004	\$3,673,781	\$3,718,781
Total Sector Funding (salaries)	\$100,000,00 0	\$103,000,00 0	\$106,090,00 0	\$109,272,70 0	\$112,550,88 1
Benefit as a % of Total Sector Funding	4.20%	0.78%	1.70%	3.36%	3.30%

Community Sector Reform – Benefits Realisation Table

Reconciliation - Community Sector Reform and Equal Remuneration Case Implementation

2012-13 Reconciliation

Income	
Co-Contribution - Community Sector Reform Levy	\$449,000
Government Provided Income - ACT	\$261,977
Total Income	\$710,977
Expenditure	
Salaries & Overheads	\$250,000
ERO Consultation	\$25,311
Governance & Financial Module	\$20,000
Total Expenditure	\$295,311
Surplus	\$415,666
2013-14 Reconciliation	
Income	
Rollover from prior year	\$415,666
Co-Contribution - Community Sector Reform Levy	\$474,844
Government Provided Income - ACT	\$271,522
Total Income	\$1,162,032
Expenditure	
Salaries & Overheads	\$363,000
Governance & Financial Module	\$440,000
Development & Delivery of Modules	\$165,000
Reducing Reporting Requirements	\$76,820
Total Expenditure	\$1,044,820
Surplus	\$117,212
2014-15 Reconciliation	
Income	
Rollover from prior year	\$117,212
Co-Contribution - Community Sector Reform Levy	\$479,000
Government Provided Income - ACT	\$80,000
Additional Sector Development	\$230,000
Total Income	\$906,212
Expenditure	
Salaries & Overheads	\$318,000
Development & Delivery of Modules	\$230,000
BNG Compliance Tool	\$190,000
Outsource - SROI Training	\$11,000

\$749,000

\$157,212

Table One – Reconciliation of the 0.34% Community Sector co-contribution.

Total Expenditure

Surplus

*Estimates - QON No. E15-033



LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY FOR THE AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY

SELECT COMMITTEE ON ESTIMATES 2015-16

MR BRENDAN SMYTH MLA (CHAIR), MS MEEGAN FITZHARRIS MLA (DEPUTY CHAIR), DR CHRIS BOURKE MLA, MS NICOLE LAWDER MLA

ANSWER TO QUESTION ON NOTICE



MR SMYTH: To ask the Minister for Economic Development

Output Class 8.2 Innovation, Trade and Investment, CMTEDD Budget Statement, pg 23, 36-38

In relation to: Digital Canberra Challenge

1. For each of the four rounds of the Digital Canberra Challenge, provide a list of the

respective:

- a. Competitor submissions
- b. Selected competitors
- c. Announced winner
- 2. When was the Round 4 submission deadline extended?
 - a. Why was there an extension to the deadline?
 - b. At the time of announcement, how many submissions were received?

MINISTER FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT: The answer to the Member's question is as follows:-

- Digital Canberra Challenge (DCC) Round 1 had two selected challenges, namely: Challenge 1 'Quicker Event Approvals' and Challenge 2 'Easier Scheduling'.
 - a. 12 Competitor submissions from:

Daniel MacNamara (Challenge 1)

Imagine Team (Challenge 1)

Fivium Ltd (Challenge 1)

Ashis Arora (Challenge 1)

Paul Krix & Phillip Grimmett (Challenge 1)

Daniel Axtens, Andrew Donellan & Benjamin Roberts (Challenge 1)

White Label Personal Clouds Pty Ltd (Challenge 1 & 2)

Design Managers Australia (Challenge 2)

Mike Leonard (Challenge 2)

Ajaxel Pty Ltd (Challenge 2)

Miranda Zhang (Challenge 2)

- b. Selected competitors and their respective challenges were:
 - i. DigiACTive (Imagine Team) for the 'Quicker event approvals' challenge
 - ii. Design Managers Australia (DMA) for the 'Easier scheduling' challenge
- c. Announced winner was DigiACTive.
- 1. DCC Round 2 had two selected challenges, namely: Challenge 1 'Better Access to Hospital Information' and Challenge 2 'Streamlined ID System'.
 - a. 9 competitor submissions from:
 - Alacrity Technologies Pty Ltd (Challenge 1)

Tim Ainge from Basement Labs Pty Ltd (Challenge 1)

Clayton Blake from Fivium Pty Ltd (Challenge 1)

Kevin Cox from Welcomer (Challenge 1 & 2)

Pivotal Analytics Pty Ltd (Challenge 2)

Imagine Team Pty Ltd (Challenge 2)

Mike Leonard (Challenge 2)

Richard Brown from Cogito Group Pty Ltd (Challenge 2)

- b. Selected competitors and their respective challenges were:
 - i. Basement Labs for the 'Better access to hospital information' challenge
 - ii. Imagine Team for the 'Streamlined ID system' challenge
- c. Announced winner was Imagine Team.
- DCC Round 3 had two selected challenges, namely: Challenge 1 'Digital Video and Image Library' and Challenge 2 'Public Art Installation'.
 - a. 6 competitor submissions from:

Three72 (Challenge 1)

Link Digital (Challenge 1)

APositive (Challenge 2)

Goldilocks Zone Team (Challenge 2)

Kai Eris from Mobflic (Challenge 2)

Dan Barbulescu (Challenge 2)

- b. Selected competitors and their respective challenges were:
 - i. Link Digital for the 'Digital video and image library' challenge
 - ii. APositive for the 'Public art installation' challenge
- c. Announced joint winners were Link Digital and APositive.
- DCC Round 4 had two selected challenges, namely: Challenge 1 'Augmented Built Environment' and Challenge 2 'New Communications Platform'.

- a. 7 competitor submissions from:
 Nexus (2 submissions for Challenge 1)
 Alacrity Technologies Pty Ltd (Challenge 2)
 Cut Orange (Challenge 2)
 Gang Chen (2 submissions for Challenge 2)
 Three 72 Digital Pty Ltd (Challenge 2)
- b. No competitors were selected and the challenges were readvertised.
- c. Winners will be announced in early November 2015.
- 2. DCC Round 4 submission deadline was extended on 15 June 2015.
 - The deadline was extended because the initial response was deemed by the
 Program Board to be unsatisfactory, hence, the extension to enable the rewriting
 of the two challenges in order to attract better ideas and ensure good outcomes.
 - b. A total of 7 submissions were received.

Approved for circulation to the Select Committee on Estimates 2015-16

Signature: Andrew Bar

Date: 5. 7. 15

By the Minister for Economic Development, Andrew Barr MLA

*Estimates - QTON No. E15-034

TO BE MBL

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY FOR THE AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY

SELECT COMMITTEE ON ESTIMATES 2015-16

MR BRENDAN SMYTH MLA (CHAIR), MS MEEGAN FITZHARRIS MLA (DEPUTY CHAIR), DR CHRIS BOURKE MLA, MS NICOLE LAWDER MLA

ANSWER TO QUESTION TAKEN ON NOTICE DURING PUBLIC HEARINGS

4	ECEIVED	
	2 2 JUN 2015	
ion(s):	ACT LA PRO)

Asked by **Dr Bourke** on 16 June 2015: Mr Knox took on notice the following question(s):

Ref: Hansard Transcript 16 June 2015 PAGE 38

In relation to: Customer Satisfaction surveys - how are they undertaken?

DR BOURKE: Yes. So how do you measure customer satisfaction?

Mr Knox: We do customer satisfaction surveys. So we have been out in a forum, we have done those, and we have the percentages available and the satisfaction rates.

DR BOURKE: What, online, telephone?

Mr Knox: I would have to take it on notice how we have done it, but we have done—most of those—I am talking generally speaking at the moment. I cannot remember the specifics, but most of them would be online market research type activities where they go and contact people either over the phone or through digital means and all the rest of it as well.

Mr Barr: The answer to the Member's question is as follows:-

Icon Water's annual customer satisfaction surveys are undertaken via telephone by an independent research agency.

A satisfaction survey was undertaken in February 2014, contacting 270 residential customers and 50 business customers. The sampling size is at the 90 per cent confidence level. The overall satisfaction rating as at February 2014 was 92 per cent for residential customers and 90 per cent for business customers.

Approved for circulation to the Select Committee on Estimates 2015-16

Signature: Andrew Bar

Date: 20.6.200

*Estimates - QTON No. E15- ()25



LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY FOR THE AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY

SELECT COMMITTEE ON ESTIMATES 2015-16 MR BRENDAN SMYTH MLA (CHAIR), MS MEEGAN FITZHARRIS MLA (DEPUTY CHAIR), DR CHRIS BOURKE MAR MER MLA

ANSWER TO QUESTION TAKEN ON NOTICE DURING PUBLIC HEARINGS

	A NO	MIGGLE	LAWE	
	22	JUN	2015	distance in the second
18	. <i>K</i>	\CT	IA	ster les
13	2717	ngi <u>Tee</u>	SUP	H and the second second

Asked by Mr Smyth on 16 June 2015 : Mr Sachse took on notice the following question(s):

Ref: Hansard Transcript 16 June 2015 PAGES 42-43

In relation to: Residential/Commercial/Community Users Consumption

THE CHAIR: Okay. Just for some information, what percentage—do you sort of break down your consumption numbers into residential, commercial and community usage? How far can you break it down?

Mr Sachse: That is something that we are looking at over the next three years, to improve that segment reporting around our customers, to understand, I guess, you know, where our revenue comes from and where that water sales comes from, all to feed in into that next regulatory submission so we can get much better—or improvement in the tariff structures going forward.

THE CHAIR: All right. So what data have you got available at the moment?

Mr Sachse: I mean, it will all be there. I just do not have anything with me today, so I can take your question on notice.

Mr Barr: The answer to the Member's question is as follows:-

The tables and figures below show consumption levels over time for eight customer categories.

Year ending 30	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
June	kL						
Commercial units	77,788	78,857	82,110	75,734	85,988	106,159	123,057
Dual occupancy	37,727	38,712	37,092	36,143	43,233	53,883	51,814
Flats	582,852	588,901	584,418	573,730	619,087	648,758	675,460
Houses	21,154,195	22,206,177	22,116,239	19,792,296	20,500,811	23,251,536	24,238,776
Non potable	499,013	494,888	283,590	188,302	278,911	482,309	448,178
Other non- residential	10,567,331	10,639,418	10,078,179	8,546,710	9,013,783	10,754,468	10,849,796
Queanbeyan	3,437,145	3,638,591	3,715,950	3,720,938	3,291,984	3,850,555	3,414,476
Residential units	4,312,726	4,670,165	4,882,241	4,812,614	5,173,336	5,663,768	6,035,897
TOTAL	40,668,777	42,355,709	41,779,819	37,746,467	39,007,133	44,811,436	45,837,454

Table 1: Billed consumption by year and customer category (kL) excluding unread accruals

accruais							
Year ending 30	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
June	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Commercial units	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3
Dual occupancy	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Flats	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.4	1.5
Houses	52.0	52.4	52.9	52.4	52.6	51.9	52.9
Non potable	1.2	1.2	0.7	0.5	0.7	1.1	1.0
Other non-residential	26.0	25.1	24.1	22.6	23.1	24.0	23.7
Queanbeyan	8.5	8.6	8.9	9.9	8.4	8.6	7.4
Residential units	10.6	11.0	11.7	12.7	13.3	12.6	13.2

Table 2: Percentage of total billed consumption per year by customer category excluding unread accruals

Approved for circulation to the Select Committee on Estimates 2015-16

Signature: Andrew Bar

Date: 20.6.2015



SELECT COMMITTEE ON ESTIMATES 2015-16

MR BRENDAN SMYTH MLA (CHAIR), MS MEEGAN FITZHARRIS MLA (DEPUTY CHAIR), DR CHRIS BOURKE MLA, MS NEOLE LAWRER MLA

ANSWER TO QUESTION TAKEN ON NOTICE DURING PUBLIC HEARINGS

10	ECENTES	
	2 2 JUN 2015	
6	ACT LA	
	ACT LA PO	

Asked by **Mr Smyth** on 16 June 2015 : Mr Knox took on notice the following question(s):

Ref: Hansard Transcript 16 June 2015 PAGE 43

In relation to: Golf/Bowling Clubs Usage Break Down

THE CHAIR: All right. And you mentioned golf courses. A number of us are on another committee that are looking at clubs. Are you able to return how much—can you break it down as far as club usage and then particularly golf course and bowling green usage?

Mr Knox: Chair, I would not answer that. I would have to take that on notice.

THE CHAIR: No, no, quite happy for that to go on notice, but yes, but if you could, that would be kind.

Mr Knox: Certainly, yes.

Mr Barr: The answer to the Member's question is as follows:--

Icon Water only requires one water meter installation at each property to measure water consumption. However, some golf course and bowling club owners have chosen to install supplementary water meters to differentiate club house domestic usage from irrigation.

Please note: *Privacy Act 1988* prohibits Icon Water from disclosing information at an individual club level.

The tables below are based on meter configuration with assumptions made for the purpose of use.

Table 1: Golf Club consumption for domestic usage (kL).

2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015 *YTD
1,228	3,052	3,386	3,676	3,311	790

Table 2: Golf Club consumption for irrigation usage (kL).

2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015 *YTD
2,015	3,316	4,180	14,308	27,377	9,207

Table 3: Golf Club consumption for non-potable water (kL).

2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015 *YTD
0	30 361	33,580	86,177	91,193	54,128

Table 4: Bowling Club consumption for all usage (kL). (unable to separate domestic from irrigation)

2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015 *YTD
4,256	14,433	14,175	19,388	22,369	11,621

Approved for circulation to the Select Committee on Estimates 2015-16

Signature: Andrew Jan

Date: 20.6.15



OR THE AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITOR

SELECT COMMITTEE ON ESTIMATES 2015-16

MR BRENDAN SMYTH MLA (CHAIR), MS MEEGAN FITZHARRIS MLA (DEPUTY CHAIR), DR CHRIS BOURKE MLA, MS NICOLE LAWDER MLA

ANSWER TO QUESTION TAKEN ON NOTICE DURING PUBLIC HEARINGS

and the second sec
SECEIVED
2 2 JUN 2015
En ACT LA OF
tion(s):

Asked by Mr Smyth on 16 June 2015 : Mr Knox took on notice the following question(s):

Ref: Hansard Transcript 16 June 2015 PAGE 46

In relation to: Cost of water to consumers over last decade

THE CHAIR: All right. Is it possible for you to track what the cost of water has been for taxpayers, say, over the last decade and take it on notice and inform the committee?

Mr Knox: Certainly.

Mr Barr: The answer to the Member's question is as follows:-

The annual National Performance Report (prepared by the Bureau of Meteorology) provides a comparison of performance for urban water utilities across Australia. For the purpose of the report, the utilities are grouped according to the number of connected properties of which there are four groups. Icon Water is in the 100,000+ connected properties group.

Icon Water is currently fourth cheapest for water usage and second cheapest for combined water and sewerage in the major utility group.

The following tables provide the cost of water to consumers over the past decade.

Report Year	Indicator – average residential billed based on 200kL	Position within the group (1st is most expensive)
2013-14	\$610.00	10 th of 13
2012-13	\$585.83	7 th
2011-12	\$561.68	5 th
2010-11	\$492.08	6 th
2009-10	\$479.55	3 rd
2008-09	\$455.00	3 rd
2007-08	\$447.50	2 nd
2006-07	\$334.50	Data not available
2005-06	\$334.50	Data not available
2004-05	\$276.50	Data not available

Table 1: Major utility annual water bill for 200kL residential customer (Usage only)

Report Year	Indicator – average residential billed based on 200kL	Position within the group (1st is most expensive)
2013-14	\$1102.02	12 th of 13
2012-13	\$1218.51	5 th
2011-12	\$1174.04	3 rd
2010-11	\$1083.80	4 th
2009-10	\$1067.89	4 th
2008-09	\$1019.26	3 rd
2007-08	\$1028.52	2 nd
2006-07	\$733.30	Data not available
2005-06	\$733.30	Data not available
2004-05	\$651.82	Data not available

Table 2: Major utility annual water and sewerage bill for 200kL residential customer

Approved for circulation to the Select Committee on Estimates 2015-16

Signature: Andrew Bar

Date: 20.6.2015



SELECT COMMITTEE ON ESTIMATES 2015-16 MR BRENDAN SMYTH MLA (CHAIR), MS MEEGAN FITZHARRIS MLA (DEPUTY CHAIR), DR CARISCOURKE MLA, MS NICOCO

ECEND WDER MLA 2 2 101 2015

ANSWER TO QUESTION TAKEN ON NOTICE DURING PUBLIC HEARINGS

Asked by **Ms Fitzharris** on 16 June 2015 : Ms Lewry took on notice the following question(s):

Ref: Hansard Transcript 16 June 2015 PAGE 47

In relation to: Multi unit dwellings - number with one meter v number with meters for all units

MS FITZHARRIS: Are most single meter?

Ms Lewry: I would have to take that on notice. I do not know the proportions.

MS FITZHARRIS: Is this largely the ones that are not able to be metered separately in retirement complexes? Do you know or—

Ms Lewry: Again, the segmentation of single versus multi I would need to take on notice.

Mr Barr: The answer to the Member's question is as follows:-

Approximately 5 per cent of multi-unit properties have multiple water meters with the remaining (approximately 95 per cent) having a single water meter connection.

Please note: *Privacy Act 1988* prohibits Icon Water from disclosing information at an individual property level.

Icon Water only requires one water meter installation at each property to measure water consumption. The configuration of the water supply within the property boundary and the decision to install individual water meters on multi-unit properties rests with the developer during construction. However, a body corporate may decide to install individual water meters at a later date.

Approved for circulation to the Select Committee on Estimates 2015-16

Signature: Andrew Ban

Date: 20.6.2015



SELECT COMMITTEE ON ESTIMATES 2015-16

MR BRENDAN SMYTH MLA (CHAIR), MS MEEGAN FITZHARRIS MLA (DEPUTY CHAIR), DR CHRIS BOURKE MLA

ANSWER TO QUESTION TAKEN ON NOTICE DURING PUBLIC HEARINGS

	2EU- ES	
Construction of the	2 2 JCN 2015	A NUMBER OF THE OWNER
16	ACT LA	1
	TEE SUPP	

Asked by **Mr Smyth** on 16 June 2015 : Mr Knox took on notice the following question(s):

Ref: Hansard Transcript 16 June 2015 PAGE 55

In relation to: Cashflow Statements

THE CHAIR: No, right. Thanks for that. And then just in the cashflow statements on page 149, and I am not sure if somebody else has dealt with all this. The increase in net cash held, it has gone up 85 per cent. What drove that?

Mr Knox: Sorry, what line?

THE CHAIR: It is the third last line, net increase in cash held. Increase or decrease, you have gone from minus 8 to minus 1.2.

Mr Knox: I would have to take that on notice.

THE CHAIR: That would be kind, thank you. New question?

Mr Barr: The answer to the Member's question is as follows:-

Icon Water operates with a view to maintaining a cash balance of \$50 million throughout the year for working capital purposes.

The 30 June 2014 closing position of the cash balance was \$59.5 million, higher than its target of \$50 million. Icon Water therefore reduced its cash position by \$8.7 million in 2014-15 to return closer to its target of \$50 million.

In 2015-16 the change in cash position required to move closer to the \$50 million cash balance target is forecast to be a \$1.2 million reduction.

Approved for circulation to the Select Committee on Estimates 2015-16

Signature:

Andrew Ban

Date: 20.6.2015



SELECT COMMITTEE ON ESTIMATES 2015-16

MR BRENDAN SMYTH MLA (CHAIR), MS MEEGAN FITZHARRIS MLA (DEPUTY CHAIR), DR CHRIS BOURKE MLA, MS NICOLE LAWDER MLA

ANSWER TO QUESTION ON NOTICE (\mathcal{QTO})

Mr Brendan Smyth: To ask the Treasurer

Ref: Hansard Transcript, Monday 15 June 2015 page 82

In relation to: Payroll Tax

THE CHAIR: How many businesses fall between 1.85 and \$2 million and how much do they contribute each year in payroll tax? And then say for two to 2.5.

Mr Barr: Yes well I mean the answer to between 1.85 million and 2 million would be 6.85 per cent of that gap. So you are talking then of around 12, 15,000 annually for those sized businesses. I mean I know the cumulative impacts of the previous payroll tax cuts for businesses have been in the order of about 20 to 23,000 for all the businesses that were paying payroll tax so the cuts I have delivered since becoming Treasurer.

I think from memory I am sure I have used presentations that say 21,775 I think maybe even, but thereabouts. I am again getting the nods from the—so it is more than \$20,000 and less than 25,000 I think in terms of payroll tax cuts for businesses who have been paying payroll tax.

THE CHAIR: That is okay well look if we could do it for say the 1.85 to 2 million then half-million increments up to five? How many businesses fall and how much do they pay?

Mr Barr: Sure. It would have to be a retrospective-

THE CHAIR: Yes, that is okay for the last financial year would be fine. And then how many fall in between five and 10 and then say greater than 10. Do any businesses pay—

Mr Barr: Yes, there would be heaps of businesses, be more than 10 yes, yes. Universities, major banks, Woolworths, Coles all those yes.

THE CHAIR: So if we do five to 10 then greater than 10 that would be kind.

Treasurer, Andrew Barr MLA: The answer to the Member's question is as follows:-

Businesses operating in the ACT were liable to pay payroll tax if their national wages bill exceeded the 2013-14 threshold of \$1.75 million. The threshold is, however, apportioned according to the businesses' share of wages that are paid in the ACT.

6 JUL 2015

The following table lists the number of businesses based on their thresholds (national total wages) and their approximate share of the total payroll tax collected in the ACT in 2013-14.

National wages threshold (\$ millions)	Number of businesses in the ACT	Share of payroll tax paid (per cent)
1.750 - 1.849	16	0.1
1.850 - 1.999	29	0.2
2.000 - 2.499	106	1.2
2.500 - 2.999	106	1.1
3.000 - 3.499	112	1.0
3.500 - 3.999	91	0.7
4.000 - 4.499	88	1.6
4.500 - 4.999	84	1.2
5.000 - 9.999	414	9.7
≥10.000	1,043	83.2
Total	2,089	100.0

Please note: this data should be treated with caution due to the existence of waivers, compliance assessments, penalties, interest, and accrual accounting adjustments.

Approved for circulation to the Select Committee on Estimates 2015-16

Signature: Andrew Bar

Date: 3.7.15