



Legislative Assembly for the Australian Capital Territory

2024-2025-2026

Questions on Notice Paper

No 21

Monday, 15 June 2026

New questions

(30 days expires 15 July 2026)

1228 **MR CAIN:** To ask the Chief Minister —

1. On how many occasions since 1 January 2022 has each Minister declared an actual, potential or perceived conflict of interest in relation to (a) cabinet deliberations, (b) subcommittee deliberations, (c) procurement processes, (d) planning or land use decisions, (e) grant funding decisions and (f) regulatory or licensing matters.
2. Can the Government provide (a) the date, (b) the general subject matter, (c) whether the Minister recused themselves from discussions or decisions, (d) whether written advice was sought from (i) the Government Solicitor, (ii) the Integrity Commissioner, (iii) the Head of Service or (iv) another authority and (e) whether the conflict related to (i) property interests, (ii) shareholdings, (iii) trusts or investment vehicles, (iv) gifts or hospitality, (v) outside employment or appointments or (vi) family or associate interests for each occasion referred to in part (1).
3. What whole-of-government policies, protocols or guidelines govern the management of ministerial conflicts of interest arising from declarations made to the ACT Legislative Assembly.

4. Has any review, audit, investigation or assessment been undertaken since 1 January 2022 regarding the adequacy, completeness or timeliness of Ministerial declarations of interests; if so, (a) by whom, (b) on what date, (c) what were the findings and (d) will the Government release the review.
5. Has any Minister since 1 January 2022 (a) amended, (b) updated or (c) corrected a declaration of interest after (i) a media inquiry, (ii) an Integrity Commissioner inquiry, (iii) legal advice, (iv) a complaint or (v) an internal review; if so, can the Government provide details.

1229 **MR CAIN:** To ask the Minister for Planning and Sustainable Development —

1. How many Development Applications lodged through the ACT Government's eDevelopment system since 1 January 2018 have had documents stored within the "DA Finder" Azure storage environment.
2. Has the Government undertaken any assessment of whether documents within the environment referred to in part (1) were capable of being crawled, indexed or cached by internet search engines including Google and Bing; if so, (a) when was that assessment undertaken, (b) what were the findings and (c) can a copy of the assessment be provided.
3. How many documents have been identified as containing personal information including (a) names, (b) residential addresses, (c) title information, (d) ownership information, (e) mortgage details and (f) financial information.
4. How many individual ACT residents may have been affected by the exposure of such information.

1230 **MR CAIN:** To ask the Minister for Climate Change, Environment, Energy and Water —In relation to the answer to question on notice no 1152 relating to consultation on further single-use plastic restrictions, (a) what ACT-based data, litter audit information, recycling contamination evidence, environmental analysis or health advice was relied upon to support inclusion in the consultation for each of the 14 proposed product categories, (b) which Directorate, consultant, organisation or advisory body provided advice relating to the inclusion of each proposed item, (c) why was consultation commenced before completion of any regulatory impact assessment, cost-benefit analysis or small business impact assessment, (d) which organisations or representative groups from the sectors of (i) cafe, (ii) restaurants, (iii) retailers, (iv) hospitality venues (v) suppliers and (vi) disability advocacy organisations met directly with Government officials during consultation, (e) what were the most commonly raised concerns regarding (i) costs, (ii) product availability, (iii) supply chains, (iv) practicality of alternatives and (v) compliance burden, (f) what quantified environmental outcomes or reductions in litter, landfill, recycling contamination or waterways pollution are anticipated from each proposed restriction, (g) will the Government publicly release (i) the listening report, (ii) briefing materials provided to Ministers and (iii) any future regulatory impact statements prior to decisions on additional bans.

1231 **MR CAIN:** To ask the Minister for Planning and Sustainable Development —

1. Does the Government accept that Development Application documents containing ACT residents' names, addresses, property ownership details and mortgage information were accessible through internet search engines outside the intended ACT planning register interface.
2. Does the Government consider this outcome consistent with community expectations regarding the protection of personal information.
3. Has the Government identified how many years this exposure may have existed before being brought to its attention by a member of the public; if not, why not.
4. Has the Government notified potentially affected residents of the exposure of their personal information; if not, why not.

1232 **MR CAIN:** To ask the Chief Minister — In relation to the answer to question on notice No 944 regarding SES roles at Infrastructure Canberra, (a) when was the Chief Operating Officer (COO) role at Infrastructure Canberra created, (b) who approved its creation, (c) was a business case prepared prior to its establishment, (d) was the COO position publicly advertised through an open merit-based recruitment process; if not, under what provision of the Public Sector Management Act 1994 or Public Sector Management Standards 2016 was open advertisement bypassed and who made the appointment, (e) who appointed Ms Nikki Pulford to the COO role in or around May 2024 and what qualifications and selection process were applied to that appointment, (f) has Ms Pulford been absent from duty in the COO role for any continuous period exceeding six months; if so, what is the nature and duration of that absence and what is the total salary and on-cost expenditure during the period of absence, (g) were any performance concerns formally raised regarding her performance in the COO role prior to Ms Pulford's absence; if so, (i) by whom, (ii) through what process and (iii) what action did Infrastructure Canberra leadership take in response, (h) has Infrastructure Canberra assessed whether the COO role remains operationally necessary given the period of absence; if not, why not and (i) who is currently performing the duties of the COO, (ii) at what classification and cost and (iii) has this arrangement been subject to a merit-based process.

1233 **MR CAIN:** To ask the Minister for Planning and Sustainable Development —

1. When was the Minister first advised that Development Application (DA) documents stored within the ACT Government's DA register system may have been indexed by internet search engines.
2. On what date did the Government first become aware of the issue referred to in part (1).
3. What actions were taken following identification of the issue referred to in part (1).
4. Were any Ministers briefed on the issue referred to in part (1); if so, (a) which Ministers, (b) on what dates and (c) can copies of ministerial briefs be provided.
5. Has the Government directed any investigation into how the issue referred to in part (1) occurred and who was responsible for the relevant system configuration; if not, why not.

1234 **MR CAIN:** To ask the Chief Minister —

1. How many move on directions or move on orders have been issued in the last 36 months by ACT Policing in (a) public reserves, (b) parks, (c) lookouts, (d) lakeside areas and (e) other public recreation spaces.
2. How many of the move on directions or orders referred to in part (1) related to conduct involving (a) indecent behaviour, (b) sexual activity or alleged sexual activity in a public place, (c) anti-social behaviour occurring within parked vehicles and (d) complaints made by members of the public regarding conduct occurring after hours.
3. What are the most common locations where move on directions or orders have been issued.
4. When a move on direction or order is issued, are the names and identifying details of the individuals involved recorded by ACT Policing or any other ACT Government agency; if so, (a) what information is retained, (b) for how long is it retained and (c) under what circumstances may that information be accessed or disclosed.
5. Have any current ACT public officials, statutory office holders, senior executive public servants, or elected representatives been issued with a move on direction or move on order in the ACT in the last 12 months; if so, what protocols exist regarding (a) notification requirements, (b) conflicts of interest, (c) public integrity considerations and (d) the management of reputational or security risks arising from such incidents.

1235 **MR CAIN:** To ask the Minister for Police, Fire and Emergency Services — In relation to the answer to question on notice No 1164, (a) what exact table, metric, methodology or comparative analysis within the Report on Government Services 2026 was relied upon to support the statement that a 17.8 minute 90th percentile Priority 1 response time was “the fastest in the country”, (b) does the Minister maintain that no Australian jurisdiction recorded a faster 90th percentile Priority 1 ambulance response time than 17.8 minutes in the most recent reporting year; if so, can the Minister identify each jurisdiction and reported response time relied upon, (c) if the Minister was referring to a different percentile, indicator or methodology, what was that measure and why was it relied upon in response to a question specifically concerning the 90th percentile measure, (d) was the statement that the ACT was “the fastest in the country” reviewed or verified by ACT Emergency Services Agency officials prior to the answer being provided and (e) will the Minister correct the answer if the statement is unable to be substantiated by the Report on Government Services 2026.

1236 **MR CAIN:** To ask the Minister for City and Government Services —

1. What fees, commissions, transaction charges, management fees or margins are payable to Comensura under the arrangement of Contract C0008 with Comensura.
2. Are the fees referred to in part (1) charged (a) per contractor, (b) per hour worked, (c) as a percentage margin, (d) through software or platform access charges, (e) through supplier participation fees and (f) through another mechanism.
3. What is the estimated total value of fees payable to Comensura over the life of the arrangement referred to in part (1), separate from underlying labour hire costs.
4. Does the Government permit labour hire agencies supplying workers through the arrangement referred to in part (1) to also apply their own placement or management margins.
5. Has the Government assessed whether multiple layers of margins or administrative fees are being charged to taxpayers under the arrangement referred to in part (1).
6. What is the maximum permitted difference between (a) the worker pay rate and (b) the final hourly cost charged to Government.
7. What auditing, assurance or compliance processes are undertaken to ensure contingent labour engagements through the arrangement referred to in part (1) represent value for money.
8. Has the Government identified any cases of excessive mark-ups, duplicate fees or non-compliant charging practices under the arrangement referred to in part (1).

1237 **MS TOUGH:** To ask the Minister for Planning and Sustainable Development — In relation to the answer to question on notice No 784 regarding the Richardson Shops, is the Minister able to provide an update on the potential finalisation of the tenancies referred to by the lessee and any further discussions with the lessee since this time.

1238 **MR CAIN:** To ask the Minister for City and Government Services —

1. What is the estimated total value of contingent labour expenditure expected to flow through the Whole of Government Managed Service Provider (MSP) arrangement with Comensura over the life of the contract.
2. What total contingent labour expenditure has flowed through the arrangement referred to in part (1) since 1 December 2025.
3. How many individual contractors or contingent workers have been engaged through the arrangement referred to in part (1).
4. How many workers engaged through the arrangement referred to in part (1) have been retained for longer than (a) 6 months, (b) 12 months and (c) 24 months.
5. How many contingent workers are performing roles substantially similar to permanent ACT Public Service positions.
6. How many contingent workers engaged through the arrangement referred to in part (1) supervise ACT Public Servants.
7. Has the Government assessed whether long-term reliance on contingent labour has (a) reduced internal workforce capability, (b) increased labour costs, (c) reduced institutional knowledge and (d) delayed permanent recruitment outcomes.
8. Has the Government identified roles where permanent staffing would have represented better value for money than labour hire arrangements.

1239 **MR CAIN:** To ask the Chief Minister —

1. Has any construction plant or machinery been regularly removed from the Light Rail Stage 2A project site and subsequently returned at the commencement of the next working week; if so, (a) what is the reason for this practice and (b) what categories of plant or machinery are involved.
2. What costs have been incurred by the Territory, directly or indirectly, in relation to the removal, transport, storage and return of construction plant or machinery from the Light Rail Stage 2A project site, including (a) any contractual payments, variations, claims or reimbursements and (b) the total value of those costs to date.

1240 **MR CAIN:** To ask the Minister for City and Government Services — In relation to Contract C0008 with Comensura for the Whole of Government Managed Service Provider (MSP) arrangement, (a) why was a “Single Select” procurement methodology used, (b) did the Government conduct any open market testing, competitive tender or value for money assessment prior to entering the arrangement, (c) what due diligence, probity, reputational or risk assessments were undertaken regarding Comensura before the contract was executed, (d) did the Government consider (i) findings of the NSW Independent Review into Insurance and Care NSW (icare) procurement and governance arrangements, (ii) NSW Audit Office findings relating to procurement governance and contingent labour arrangements involving Comensura and (iii) publicly reported concerns regarding contingent labour expenditure through Comensura arrangements in NSW; if so, what risks or mitigation measures were identified, (d) why are pricing methodology and other operational details listed as confidential on the ACT Contracts Register, (e) what public interest grounds justify withholding those details from taxpayers and (f) will the Government release (i) any value for money assessments, (ii) due diligence assessments, (iii) probity advice and (iv) procurement risk assessments relating to the contract.

1241 **MR CAIN:** To ask the Minister for Transport — In relation to the answer to question on notice No 957 regarding raising London Circuit (a) does the Minister accept that issuing 25 separate addenda during the tender process demonstrates the Territory went to market before the project scope and design were properly resolved, (b) does the Minister agree taxpayers were exposed to significant cost escalation risk by asking tenderers to price a project where the scope, quantities and design assumptions were repeatedly changing in a procurement process, (c) did any tenderer warn the Territory during procurement that the project documentation was incomplete, unstable, incapable of accurate pricing or likely to result in substantial post-award variations; if so, what warnings were given and by whom, (d) why were the City Hill footpath works exclusively with the preferred tenderer after the competitive tender process had closed rather than reopening the procurement to all tenderers, particularly given the Government now admits the additional works proceeded only after funding and planning approvals were later secured, (e) does the Minister still maintain the procurement represented value for money for taxpayers given the contract has increased from \$66.764 million to \$100.832 million; if so, what objective analysis, independent assurance or probity review supports that claim and (f) has any internal or external concern been raised that the scale of post-tender scope changes, negotiated additions and contract variations may have undermined the integrity, competitiveness or transparency of the procurement process; if so, what concerns were raised and how were they addressed.

- 1242 **MR CAIN:** To ask the Minister for Planning and Sustainable Development —
1. Does the Government have any process to identify Development Application (DA) applicants who have address suppression arrangements, protected personal information, family violence protections or other privacy safeguards; if so, what is that process.
 2. Has the Government assessed whether any individuals subject to the protections referred to in part (1) have had their information exposed through indexed DA documents; if so, (a) how many individuals were identified and (b) what actions have been taken to notify and protect them; if not, why not.
- 1243 **MS CARRICK:** To ask the Minister for Planning and Sustainable Development — In relation to the answer to question on notice No 1148 regarding CIT (West) Plaza, (a) why are four accessibility parks going in the plaza when there is not a requirement to put them in the middle of a public pedestrian space and they can be located in other good places, (b) can the Minister provide the assessment for plaza and section 10 of the Planning Act 2023, (c) why is the Urban Design checklist different to the City Centre Urban Design Checklist with respect to the requirement for activation and what is the plaza's assessment against activation criteria, (d) will the Government keep the road closed in the plaza now that traffic has adjusted to Easty Street; if not, why not and (e) why does the Government compare this plaza to Bunda Street, given Bunda Street is a road that has been slowed and shared with pedestrians and the plaza is not a road but a pedestrian space that will have cars driving through it.
- 1244 **MISS NUTTALL:** To ask the Minister for Planning and Sustainable Development —
1. Can the Minister advise what community consultation was undertaken before releasing Block 24 Section 286 Kambah for tender.
 2. Has the criteria changed from the previous Request for Expression of Interest on the block referred to in part (1).
- 1245 **MS CLAY:** To ask the Minister for Homes, Homelessness and New Suburbs —
1. Have indicative site investigations been carried out on Blocks 10 to 12, Section 74 Watson, which are listed on the Indicative Land Release Program for release in 2026-2027.
 2. Were ecological and environmental studies carried out on the land referred to in part (1); if so, (a) what did the ecological and environmental studies reveal and (b) can the Minister provide a copy of the ecological and environmental studies that were carried out.
- 1246 **MS CARRICK:** To ask the Minister for City and Government Services — In relation to the answer to question on notice No 1142 which indicates that take up of carshare services will be subject to consumer preferences and market demand, (a) are there questions relating to car share services in the 2026 ACT and Queanbeyan Household Travel Survey, (b) what other research or analysis has the Government conducted, or is planning to conduct, to determine the level of market demand for carshare services in districts where carshare vehicles are not currently located and (c) when does the Government expect to be able to provide parking spaces for carshare services in districts where carshare vehicles are not currently located.

1247 **MS CARRICK:** To ask the Minister for Skills, Training and Industrial Relations —

1. How many students are enrolled in each course at each Canberra Institute of Technology (CIT) campus.
2. Which of the courses referred to in part (1) offer online attendance.
3. What is the estimated average number of students onsite at each CIT campus per day.

1248 **MS LEE:** To ask the Minister for Health — Has the Government considered any alternative sites for the Inner South Health Centre, which is proposed for Throsby Park, Griffith; if so, (a) what other sites were considered and (b) why were those sites dismissed; if not, why were alternative sites not considered, given the Kingston and Barton Resident's Group put forward an available alternative site in Kingston.

1249 **MS LEE:** To ask the Minister for Health — In relation to the proposed Inner South Health facility, did the Government consider the concerns raised by local residents about the lack of accessible, manageable, frequent and timely public transport when selecting the Throsby Park site for the facility; if so, what was the Government's response to those concerns; if not, why not.

1250 **MS CLAY:** To ask the Minister for Health — How much funding is allocated for Aboriginal Health in the ACT by the (a) ACT Government and (b) Federal Government.

1251 **MS CLAY:** To ask the Minister for Health —

1. How many of the women or birthing people giving birth through Canberra Health Services in the last five years were diagnosed with gestational diabetes and what percentage underwent induction of labour.
2. How did rates of (a) c-section, (b) instrumental birth, (c) postpartum haemorrhage and (d) neonatal special care nursery admission in the last five years among women or birthing people diagnosed with gestational diabetes differ between those who underwent induction of labour and those who experienced spontaneous labour.

1252 **MS VASSAROTTI:** To ask the Minister for Health — In relation to the Minister's recent statement that the new Northside Canberra Hospital is set to deliver around 300 beds, can the Minister provide (a) how this compares with the cost of other comparable hospital projects around Australia given it will cost about \$5 million per bed and (b) evidence to show that the new Northside Canberra Hospital is the best value for money.

1253 **MS CARRICK:** To ask the Minister for Transport —

1. Does the Cotter Road traffic study factor in future traffic volumes that will be generated from the planned North Weston residential estate.
2. When will the Cotter Road traffic study be released.
3. When will the Government implement dedicated bus lanes along John Gorton Drive and Cotter Road to provide more reliable journey times along this important public transport corridor, in addition to the existing general traffic lanes.

- 1254 **MR CAIN:** To ask the Minister for Climate Change, Environment, Energy and Water —
1. What specific (a) actions, (b) milestones and (c) performance indicators are currently proposed for inclusion in the implementation plan associated with the ACT Water Strategy 2025-2045.
 2. Will the implementation plan referred to in part (1) publicly identify responsible agencies and delivery deadlines for each action.
 3. What measurable targets will be used to assess whether the ACT Water Strategy 2025-2045 is improving (a) water security, (b) waterway health and (c) environmental outcomes.
 4. Will annual public reporting include progress against (a) individual actions, (b) milestones, (c) expenditure and (d) implementation status.
 5. What independent review, audit or assurance mechanisms will apply to implementation of the ACT Water Strategy 2025-2045.
 6. Can the Minister provide a list of (a) organisations, (b) stakeholder groups and (c) First Nations representatives consulted during development of the ACT Water Strategy 2025-2045.
 7. Were records, minutes or summaries kept of consultation feedback referred to in part (6); if so, will they be publicly released.
 8. Have any stakeholders or First Nations representatives raised concerns regarding (a) implementation arrangements, (b) funding, (c) governance and (d) accountability associated with the ACT Water Strategy 2025-2045.
- 1255 **MS VASSAROTTI:** To ask the Minister for Homes, Homelessness and New Suburbs —
1. What progress has been made with the new public housing facilities management model referred to in the ministerial statement of 25 September 2025 regarding insourcing of public housing repairs and maintenance, including (a) customer service functions, (b) financial arrangements, (c) reactive maintenance and (d) programmed maintenance, given that Programmed Facilities Management will finish its maintenance contract on 31 December 2027 and a 12-month demobilisation period commences on 31 December 2026.
 2. Has work commenced on establishing a program that identifies the handover of maintenance responsibilities from Programmed Facilities Management to the Territory's insourcing agency during the 12-month demobilisation period referred to in part (1).
 3. Has consideration been given to establishing the call centre and what options have been considered.
 4. Can the Minister provide information regarding the costs of transitioning to an insourced model of public housing maintenance and information regarding the potential savings that will be delivered.
- 1256 **MR EMERSON:** To ask the Minister for Homes, Homelessness and New Suburbs — Does the Government categorise vacant public housing properties according to their condition; if so, can the Government provide (a) a list of these condition categories, (b) what qualifies a property as falling into a particular condition and (c) a breakdown of how many vacant properties fall into each category.

- 1257 **MS CLAY:** To ask the Minister for Finance — Can the Minister provide information on (a) the Government policy and procedures on enforcement action taken to recover unpaid taxes incurred by leaseholders, (b) the number of leaseholders that are subject to recovery action for (i) 2022-2023, (ii) 2023-2024 and (iii) 2024-2025 and (c) how much was recovered in unpaid taxes during (i) 2022-2023, (ii) 2023-2024 and (iii) 2024-2025.
- 1258 **MISS NUTTALL:** To ask the Minister for City and Government Services —
1. What measures are in place to ensure the growth of the grass in the Lanyon dog park play area and mitigate erosion, given reports that it has already deteriorated quite significantly since the dog park has opened.
 2. Has there been consideration with the species of the trees and other plants in the dog park to ensure there is no impact to the grass, given some species of native trees can impact grass growth nearby.
- 1259 **MS VASSAROTTI:** To ask the Minister for Health — Can the Minister advise (a) what the criteria were for assessing site suitability of the Inner South Health Centre which is being planned for a site in Griffith, given many sites were assessed for suitability and that the land behind the Griffith Shops was judged to be the best and (b) why the Government decided not to proceed with the option of a site in Kingston.
- 1260 **MR CAIN:** To ask the Chief Minister — In relation to the answer to question on notice No 944 regarding Infrastructure Canberra, (a) what are the total on-costs, including (i) superannuation, (ii) leave entitlements and (iii) executive allowances, associated with the four absent SES officers and what is therefore the all-inclusive cost to Canberrans of executive absences at Infrastructure Canberra since 1 January 2022, (b) what is the (i) name of the consultant or firm currently performing the vacant SES role and (ii) total contract value and daily rate, (c) was the engagement direct or competitively tendered, (d) does the consultant's cost exceed the equivalent SES salary and on-costs, (e) what is the job title and classification of each of the two SES positions created without open public advertisement and under what specific legislative provision was open merit-based recruitment bypassed, (f) was the Executive Group Manager, Delivery – Places and Spaces role created then rapidly upgraded, given that it appears in both the created and upgraded positions tables; if so, what was the interval between creation and upgrade and (g) will the Chief Minister commission an Auditor-General review of SES workforce management at Infrastructure Canberra given that over \$1 million has been paid to absent executives, there is a consultant filling an SES role and 13 position changes have occurred without independent scrutiny; if not, why not.
- 1261 **MS CARRICK:** To ask the Minister for City and Government Services — In relation to the media release of 5 June 2026 regarding library hours, (a) why is the Government is examining co-locating Woden Library and Access Canberra services to create a single community hub, (b) what analysis has been undertaken to inform the decision, (c) can the Minister provide the analysis for co-locating libraries and Access Canberra in the town centres and group centres that have them both, (d) what were the options and what criteria was used to assess the options, (e) can the Minister provide the options analysis; if not, why not, (f) why was Woden chosen for the co-location but other town centres and group centres were not and (g) can the Minister provide the information that was used to make this co-location decision in Woden.

1262 **MS CLAY:** To ask the Minister for Health —

1. Does initiation of insulin treatment for women and birthing people with gestational diabetes (GD) automatically alter Canberra Health Services' (CHS) recommendations regarding timing or mode of birth.
2. What evidence supports the change in recommendation referred to in part (1).
3. What evidence does CHS rely upon when recommending induction of labour for women diagnosed with GD, including those treated with insulin.
4. What are the criteria for deciding whether GD has been “well controlled” during pregnancy.
5. How do the criteria referred to in part (4) affect recommendations regarding timing and mode of birth.
6. What safeguards exist to ensure that women commencing insulin treatment are informed of any implications this may have for labour and birth recommendations before treatment decisions are made.
7. What information is routinely provided to women with GD regarding (a) the benefits and risks of induction of labour, (b) alternatives to induction, (c) their right to decline recommended interventions and (d) the evidence underpinning those recommendations.
8. When were the current CHS policies regarding management of GD and induction of labour last reviewed.
9. What evidence informed the reviews referred to in part (8).
10. What options are available for women or birthing people who have GD who would like individual consultations with their prescribing endocrinologist rather than group consultations or appointments with a diabetes educator.
11. How are patients routinely informed of the options referred to in part (10).

1263 **MS CLAY:** To ask the Minister for Health —

1. How many midwives were employed in midwifery continuity in each month for the past two years at (a) The Canberra Hospital (TCH) and (b) the North Canberra Hospital (NCH) in both (i) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) and (ii) headcount.
2. What is the expected case load per month for one FTE at (a) TCH and (b) the NCH.
3. What is the actual caseload per month for each month of the past two years for one FTE at (a) TCH and (b) the NCH.
4. When is a new graduate midwife able to work in the midwifery continuity model at (a) TCH and (b) the NCH.
5. When can early career midwives work in the midwifery continuity model at (a) TCH and (b) the NCH.

1264 **MS CLAY:** To ask the Minister for Health —

1. What other sites were considered in addition to the two sites identified in Cook for the relocation of Arcadia House.
2. What selection criteria were used to select the sites referred to in part (1).
3. Have site investigation studies, such as geotechnical, sewer, water, stormwater, environmental, contamination, trees, traffic and parking, been carried out on the sites referred to in part (1), including the sites in Cook.
4. Is it proposed to declare the development of Arcadia House a Territory Priority Project.

1265 **MR CAIN:** To ask the Minister for Climate Change, Environment, Energy and Water — In relation to the answer to question on notice No 1153 regarding the ACT Water Strategy 2025-2045, (a) did Cabinet approve release of the strategy knowing the implementation details were unresolved, (b) what implementation elements remained incomplete at the time of public release, (c) on what date did development of the implementation plan formally commence, (d) what is the current target date for public release of the implementation plan, (e) has the Minister received advice warning of delays, risks or unresolved matters affecting completion of the implementation plan, (f) what total funding amount has currently been identified across government to implement the strategy, (g) has the Government undertaken any estimate of the full cost of delivering the strategy over its lifetime and (h) which actions or initiatives currently do not have identified or secured funding.

1266 **MR CAIN:** To ask the Minister for Planning and Sustainable Development —

1. Has the Government undertaken an assessment of whether the indexing of development application documents containing personal information constitutes an eligible data breach under the *Information Privacy Act 2014*; if so, (a) what was the outcome of that assessment, (b) on what date was it completed and (c) can a copy be provided.
2. Has the Government sought legal advice regarding its obligations under Territory Privacy Principle 11 in relation to documents already indexed or cached by search engines; if so, (a) when was that advice obtained and (b) what actions were recommended.
3. Has the Government notified the ACT Human Rights Commission or any other oversight body regarding this matter; if not, why not.
4. Has the Government requested Google, Bing or any other search engine operator to remove indexed or cached development application documents containing personal information following identification of the issue; if so, (a) on what dates were requests made, (b) to which organisations and (c) what was the outcome; if not, why not.
5. Does the Government consider it sufficient to block access to source documents where copies remain discoverable through search engine caches.
6. What steps has the Government taken to recover, remove or minimise access to information already disclosed beyond ACT Government systems.

1267 **MS LEE:** To ask the Minister for Education and Early Childhood — How many times over the financial years of (a) 2023-2024, (b) 2024-2025 and (c) 2025-2026 have ACT Government schools had to close their student services offices due to staff shortage, broken down by (i) school, and (ii) financial year.

1268 **MR PARTON:** To ask the Minister for Health —

1. How many medical terminations of pregnancy have occurred at North Canberra Hospital since the passage of the *Health Infrastructure Enabling Act 2023*.
2. How many times has the Voluntary Assisted Dying scheme been accessed by patients at Claire Holland House since the commencement of voluntary assisted dying in the ACT.

1269 **MR BRADDOCK:** To ask the Treasurer — In relation to the budget initiative ‘Whole of Government Expenditure Reform’ as shown on page 84 of the Budget Outlook, (a) why are there savings provisions estimated for 2026-2027 and 2029-2030 but not 2027-2028 or 2028-2029, (b) what percentage of the savings outlined in the initiative are expected to be achieved as a result of (i) voluntary redundancies and (ii) expenditure prioritisation not resulting from voluntary redundancies, (c) are the offsets in expenses intended to represent anything other than reduced wages and salaries as a consequence of voluntary redundancies, (d) what proportion of the ACT Public Services workforce now is in frontline versus non-frontline positions, (e) what proportion of voluntary redundancies will be in frontline positions versus non-frontline positions, (f) can the Minister provide a table outlining the targeted number of voluntary redundancies by (i) financial year, (ii) directorate and (iii) whether these positions will be frontline or non-frontline positions, (g) what anticipated reductions to services does the Government expect because of these reductions in staffing levels and (h) can the Minister provide a table that outlines the total anticipated future staffing levels by (i) financial year for the forward estimates and (ii) directorate.

1270 **MS CLAY:** To ask the Minister for City and Government Services —

1. Does the ban on waste to energy stop the ACT from (a) constructing, (b) building and (c) running an incinerator.
2. To what extent does the continued transport of ACT waste to Woodlawn result in environmental impacts and emissions being shifted outside the ACT.
3. How does this align with the ACT’s broader waste and emissions reduction objectives.
4. What specific policy changes would the Government propose to address any resulting implications for the consistency of ACT waste and emissions policy settings if NSW energy-from-waste facilities were approved.